

An exploratory study of female directors' constraint on real earnings management

女性董事抑制實質盈餘管理之探討

Ling-Ling Chang¹

Department of Accounting, Ming Chuan University

Yue-Duan Guan

Department of Accounting, Ming Chuan University

Daniel F. Hsiao

Department of Accounting, Labovitz School of Business and Economics, University of Minnesota Duluth

Abstract: This paper aims to examine whether female directors affect firms' earnings management activities. In recent years, gender diversity has emerged as a prominent topic of discussion in corporate governance. Most prior research into female directors' relationships with regard to earnings management has primarily focused on accrual-based earnings management (AEM). It has been reported that post-SOX firms switched from accruals-based to real earnings management (REM) methods. Our study uses both earnings management proxies: the absolute value of discretionary accruals in AEM, and various proxies of REM. Empirical results from our Taiwanese sample indicate that firms with female directors have significantly less real earnings management, and the association is particularly driven by lower levels of reduction in discretionary expenditure, which remained unchanged even during the financial crisis of 2008-2010. However, we find a marginal mitigating effect on AEM only when the firm has a greater proportion of female directors. This study not only expands the literature on earnings management practice in emerging markets, but also provides policy implications for board gender diversity.

Keywords: Board gender diversity, accrual-based earnings management, real earnings management.

¹ Corresponding author: Ling-Ling Chang, Department of Accounting, Ming Chuan University, 250 Zhong Shan N. Rd., Sec. 5, Taipei 111, Taiwan, E-mail: LLchang@mail.mcu.edu.tw.

1. Introduction

Since the global financial crisis of 2008, the inclusion of female directors in the boardroom has received great public attention. Previous studies show men generally have higher risk tolerance than women (Halko, Kaustia, and Alanko, 2012); however, female directors, owing to their more conservative and prudent nature, often benefit companies by attaching greater emphasis to risk management and control. Behavioral literature shows women are less likely to be overconfident, and are therefore more thorough when seeking and questioning information during the decision-making process (Graham *et al.*, 2002).

Due to the recognized need for more women in the boardroom, several European Union (EU) countries have mandated that European firms increase their numbers of female directors (Brennan, 2006; Brennan and Solomon, 2008; Collier, 2008; Farrell and Hersch, 2005; Huse, Nielsen, and Hagen, 2009; Terjesen and Singh, 2008). For example, as early as 2003, Norway stipulated that the proportion of female directors in a firm should increase to at least 40 percent, and maintained that its government authority had the right to dissolve a company that failed to meet this requirement for two consecutive years.² Based on these facts, there appears to be a strong consensus that women should be well represented and included as directors. However, scholars are also critical that firms choosing female directors often have to find independent directors, and therefore mandatory gender quotas may result in “excessive board independence” for some countries, like in Norway. This situation indicates that firm value decreases when incoming female directors don't have relevant director skills (Bøhren and Staubo, 2014, 2016). In addition, Low, Roberts, and Whiting (2015) find more gender diversity in the boardroom has a positive effect on firm performance, but the effect tends to be diminished in countries with higher female economic participation and empowerment. The study then attributes the diminishing effect to mandatory gender quota, which reduces firm performance in countries with strong cultural resistance. Finally, Post and Byron (2015)

² In another example, the U.K. required Financial Times Stock Exchange 100 (FTSE 100) listed companies to attain a female director proportion of 25 percent by 2015. Moreover, Germany demands that forward from the year 2016, all publicly listed companies must dedicate at least 30 percent of board seats to female directors.

suggest board gender diversity is not a simple “numbers game”, but firms should develop a board culture in which dissenting voices are heard and considered.

As an index of corporate performance, earnings are an important consideration for investors, customers, and stakeholders, and thus give rise to differences in earnings management behaviors among managers. Seminal work from Schipper (1989) proposes firms’ earnings management activities are categorized into accrual-based earnings management (AEM) and real earnings management (REM). AEM depicts choices managers make to attain earnings objectives through the selection of generally accepted accounting methods and discretionary estimates of accruals, or unpaid expenses and revenues. Considering that accounting accruals may be reversed during continuous operations in the following year, however, scholars argue that earnings management is constrained by accumulated accruals (Fan *et al.*, 2010; Hunt, Moyer, and Shevlin, 1996). Moreover, while AEM is a common accounting practice, auditors have become less tolerant of AEM behaviors. Some studies find that AEM has become more easily detected (Graham, Harvey, and Rajgopal, 2005; Roychowdhury, 2006). In fact, a major consequence of accounting scandals in the post Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX) era is that external auditors now put greater focus on earnings manipulation activities, which has caused firms’ financial reporting to become more conservative. Within this context, recent literature finds there is a tendency for firms’ earnings management to gradually shift from AEM to REM (Cohen and Zarowin, 2010; Cohen, Dey, and Lys, 2008).

In contrast to AEM, REM describes activities wherein firms try to meet earnings objectives by deviating from normal operating modes and engaging in strategic real transactions that increase current earnings. Some examples include (1) offering price discounts, extending a credit period to accelerate sales revenues, or (2) increasing mass production to reduce sales costs, or (3) reducing discretionary research and development (R&D) expenses or advertising expenses. Although these activities may contribute to increased earnings immediately, they reduce marginal profits of future operating revenues, cause overproduction and consequently overstock and often times interrupt valuable long-run R&D activities. Therefore, REM harms future cash flows, increases operating costs, and damages the long-term firm value (Cohen, Dey, and Lys, 2008;

Roychowdhury, 2006). Nonetheless, the reason managers prefer REM to AEM might be that the arrangements of real transactions are not directly associated with accounting selection or estimates, and are less likely to be detected or challenged by auditors (Cohen and Zarowin, 2010; Gupta, Pevzner, and Seethamraju, 2010).

As stated earlier, European countries have legislated that firms ensure a specified proportion of female directors on their boards of directors, and so research on female directors is increasing. However, most studies focus on female directors' relationships to firm performance and some specifically address earnings management behavior, using discretionary accruals as the measure. Considering that after SOX, managers prefer to manage earnings via real transaction arrangements, our study adds to the extant literature by also exploring the supervisory and advisory effect of female directors on AEM and REM in Taiwan. In general, Western countries lead the way for enhancement in corporate governance issues. A recent study from Huang *et al.* (2014) reports that the implementation of corporate governance regulations plays a crucial role in reducing earnings manipulation behavior. Huang, Shiue, and Chang (2010) add that the composition of the board of directors plays an important role in the quality of corporate governance. Taiwan is among several countries whose government strongly advocates for female directorship to enhance diversity, which represents a trending in corporate governance development in both emerging markets and the Western world. Taiwan also takes pride that its female-to-male gender wage gap is among the smallest in emerging markets and that the talents of women are more appreciated in the workforce. Recently in Taiwan, the purpose of passing "Corporate Governance Best Practice Principles for TWSE/GTSE Companies" of 2014 is to implement a better balance of board gender equality for all public firms. That comprises of a policy goal for firms to "appoint at least one female director" for 2015, and maintain "a board composition that consists of a gender ratio of at least 2:1" for 2016, all of which aim to achieve board diversity.

Considering this early evidence in Taiwan, we argue that global leaders should advocate board diversity when considering future development in relatively smaller economies, and recognize that Taiwan's development has greatly influenced the Asian region for decades.

Based on a sample of 3,102 firm-year observations in Taiwanese firms during the period of 2006 to 2010, our study explores the relationship between female directors and earnings management activities. Our study uses discretionary accruals proxies: the absolute value of discretionary accruals in AEM, and various proxies of REM. Those proxies include abnormal cash flows, abnormal inventory over-production, abnormal discretionary expenditures, and the aggregate REM index³ in REM, as measures of earnings management activities, respectively. Overall, these results demonstrate that female directors play an active role in the oversight of real transaction arrangements, i.e. they constrain managers' reduction of discretionary spending to meet earnings targets. That resonates with the notion that REM has negative consequences for future cash flows and is detrimental to a firm's value in the long term (Chi, Lisic, and Pevzner, 2011; Cohen, Dey, and Lys, 2008; Roychowdhury, 2006).

We further analyze the relationship of female directors, suspect firms, and REM behaviors. Prior studies (Roychowdhury, 2006; Zang, 2012) indicate that suspect firms are those that report profits just at or above zero to meet their earnings threshold. We examine whether the presence of female directors might affect managerial intention in suspect firms toward manipulation to avoid losses or report small profits. However, our study does not find evidence to support that female directors alone constrain REM activities with respect to suspect firms. In addition, our results remain similar to those of suspect firms with greater REM incentives during the financial crisis of 2008-2010.

To the best of our knowledge, this research is among the first empirical studies to explore the relationship between female directors and REM, and our results support the conjecture that female directors may constrain a firm's REM. Specifically, the contributions of this research are threefold. First, our research fills a gap in existing research on female directors and earnings management, which until now has mostly focused on AEM but rarely investigated REM. The research thus provides another alert for auditors and regulators of firms' earnings reporting. Second, our research expands the extant literature on gender diversity in the boardroom, corporate governance, and earnings management, in particular

³ We follow Cohen, Dey, and Lys (2008) to create the proxies of AEM and REM. All variables are explained in details in 3.2 research method section. Exhibit 1 presents a summary for variable descriptions.

to contribute to such topics in emerging markets. Third, as there are currently no specific guidelines or regulations to promote gender diversity on corporate boards either in Taiwan or China, we expect that this study may serve as a reference for the advocacy and promotion of female representation on boards in the Greater China region.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 reviews the literature and theories that we used to develop our research hypotheses. Section 3 describes the study's research design, methods, and models. It is followed by data, and the sample of study in Section 4 where empirical results are reported. Finally, Section 5 provides our discussion and concluding remarks.

2. Hypotheses and related theories

2.1 Gender diversity in the boardroom

The value-in-diversity hypothesis (Cox, Lobel, and Mcleod, 1991) defines diversity as interaction and meeting of multiple viewpoints within a team, which thereby facilitates the exercise of advantages inherent in the team and organization. Thus, when there is diversity on a team, members are inspired by the variety of viewpoints, experiences, and knowledge each team member brings to a team. In particular, the views and voices unique to female directors in the boardroom may greatly contribute to group thinking, and thus provide value in decision-making (Zelechowski and Bilimoria, 2004). In addition, the suggested hypothesis furthers this idea. The appointment of female directors is an indicative of valuing women employees in the company. This provides a signal to current and prospective employees that the organization offers professional growth and career development opportunities for women (Milliken and Martins, 1996). Since society tends to judge organizational diversity, Elgart (1983) finds the decision to admit women into the boardroom to meet a social expectation may be a key source of pressure during company formation. Management studies find that firms that experience such a need tend to appoint female directors do so more frequently, and larger companies especially, due to the heightened public attention they draw, are also more likely to appoint female directors in response to social pressure for gender diversity (Hillman, Shropshire, and Cannella, 2007).

In fact, early evidence shows that several institutional investors have established the policy of investing only in companies committed to gender diversity in recent years (Browder, 1995). Therefore, firms have potentially greater incentives to appoint female directors to meet societal expectations. However, a recent empirical study in Sila, Gonzalez, and Hagendorff (2016) indicates that appointing female directors and firm's risk presents no relationship.

2.2 Female directors and earnings management

Prior research regarding female directors finds that the relationship between female directors and firm performance remains undetermined; most results are mixed. On one hand, for example, Kanter (1977) and Zelechowski and Bilimoria (2004) argue that female board members do not directly contribute to firm performance due to the fact that in some firms, female directors are simply appointed as "tokens" to satisfy boardroom gender diversity goals. Additional studies concur. Analyzing board gender diversity of Danish public firms between 1998 and 2001, Rose (2007) finds that the representation of female directors is not correlated with firm value. Bøhren and Staubo (2014, 2016) also find that forcing radical gender balance on corporate boards increased board independence and reduced firm value, when incoming females have limited experience with the firm. By using samples in four Asian emerging markets, Low, Roberts, and Whiting (2015) report that board gender diversity has a positive effect on firm performance, but the effect appear to be diminished in countries with higher female participation due to tokenism, suggesting that mandating gender quota can reduce firm performance in countries with strong cultural resistance. Another study from Ahern and Dittmar (2012) documents that female directors are either not or negatively associated with firm value, and that women, by nature of their gender, do not exert personal influence. Furthermore, Lee and James (2007) find that capital markets react negatively to the external appointment of female CEOs, but react positively to internally promoted female CEOs. Distinguished by CEO gender, a recent study shows that firms with female CEOs tend to make financing and investment choices that are less risky than those of otherwise similar firms run by male CEOs (Faccio, Marchica, and Mura, 2016). Finally, to the disbelief of some, Haslam *et al.* (2010) deem that female senior managers are regarded as a signal of company decline.

Other literature, on the other hand, suggests that female directors may contribute to company value. Both studies from Carter, Simkins, and Simpson (2003) and Campbell and Mínguez-Vera (2008) report that female directors could indeed enhance firm value. Furthermore, Erhardt, Werbel, and Shrader (2003) find that the proportion of female directors within a firm is positively correlated with its return on asset (ROA) and return on equity (ROE). In the similar vein, Amore, Garofalo, and Minichilli (2014) find that increasing fraction of female directors improves firm profitability in female-led sample in Italian family-controlled firms. Liu, Wei, and Xie (2014) demonstrate board gender diversity and firm performance have a positive and significant relationship in Chinese firms, and female executive directors have a stronger positive effect on firm performance than female independent directors.

It is generally accepted that women are more likely to abide by professional ethics (Bernardi and Arnold, 1997; Franke, Crown, and Spake, 1997; Valentine and Rittenburg, 2007), and are less likely to participate in unethical behaviors for financial gain (Betz, O'Connell, and Shepard, 1989; Khazachi, 1995). Cumming, Leung, and Rui (2015) indicate that women are more effective, in male-dominated industries, to reduce both the frequency and severity of frauds in Chinese firms. Moreover, female managers tend to be more conservative and prudent than their male counterparts, and thus are less likely to implement high-risk strategies (Powell and Ansic, 1997). A study finds firms with female directors are less likely to make acquisitions, and if they do, they pay lower acquisition premiums (Levi, Li, and Zhang, 2014). Adams and Ferreira (2009) show that female directors have better attendance records than male directors, which suggests female directors are also better at monitoring. It is important to note, however, that excessive monitoring could be detrimental to the improvement of firm value (i.e., Tobin's Q), whereas proxies for firm performance are mostly associated with earnings numbers; hence, to ensure the quality of earnings is of utmost importance.

Regarding recent literature on earnings quality, Krishnan and Parsons (2008) find that firms with a higher proportion of female senior managers exhibit better quality of earnings. In a similar vein, Xiong (2016) documents that Chinese firms with chairmen on the board who are female, long-tenured, older, and more educated will present lower absolute discretionary accruals and lower real

earnings management. Na and Hong (2017) use separate groups with CEOs by gender and report that male CEO firms have tendency to use aggressive discretionary accruals and real activities operations to achieve earnings goals (i.e., small positive earnings or small earnings increases) compared to the female counterparts do not. In addition, female CFOs are more inclined to exercise highly conservative accounting strategies (Peni and Vähämaa, 2010; Liu, Wei, and Xie, 2016). The aforementioned studies demonstrate the firms with female CEOs, CFOs, and Chairmen may have lower accruals earnings management⁴ and real earnings management. In addition, previous research also find female directors are more likely to constrain earnings management activities, resulting in higher quality of earnings for their firms (Gavious, Segev, and Yosef, 2012; Liu, Wei, and Xie, 2016; Luo, Xiang, and Huang, 2017; Srinidhi, Gul and Tsui, 2011). Both research of Srinidhi, Gul, and Tsui (2011) and Gavious, Segev, and Yosef (2012) are based on discretionary accruals or non-operating accrual quality. Moreover, a recent study in Luo, Xiang, and Huang (2017) reports that a firm, with at least three women directors or a high female director ratio, is more likely to be associated with lower levels of real activities manipulation in Chinese listed companies. The negative relation is even stronger when female directors have higher equity ownership.

Our research differs from the above studies in several ways. We focus on relation of AEM and REM with female directors in Taiwanese firms, rather than with CEOs and CFOs. High-level management has the information advantages directly engaging in earnings management activities than those of board of directors, whom typically exercise advisory function on the proposals and agenda in the board meetings. We also posit that corporate governance environment is very different between China and Taiwan as our study contributes unique perspective of boardroom dynamics. Most listed firms in China are originated from state-owned enterprises (SOEs): the accounting

⁴ Compared to earnings management methodology, the above studies employ different models to estimate discretionary accruals. Xiong (2016) uses McNichols model (2002) with a modification of Dechow and Dichev's (2002) to examine the effect of female chairmen on the board. Na and Hong (2017) examine CEO groups of gender using performance matched accruals model by Kothari, Leone, and Wasley (2005), in which a firm's ROA is incorporated in the model. Modified Jones Model (Dechow, Sloan, and Sweeney, 1995) is applied to Chinese female (male) CFOs sample in Liu, Wei, and Xie (2016). Xie (2016).

information is essential for regulatory controls and investor protection is relatively weak, whereas the political intervention is documented as one of the major corporate governance concerns in Chinese listed firms (Fan, Wong, and Zhang, 2007; Wang, 2011). In addition, Chi, Liao, and Chen (2016) document that elements of resources and network with political connection provided by SOEs are crucial to the operations in Chinese firms. Therefore, due to many members of board and management level are designated by government and state-own agencies in Chinese firms, Chi *et al.* (2015) argue that majority shareholders may access information from respective companies easily (i.e., no need to count on firms' public disclosures) and thus present little incentive to improve financial reporting quality and care less about the best interests of the company, provided that designated majority shareholders are not well represented of real interests of typical shareholders, (i.e., family shareholders from a family firm). We argue that Taiwanese firms, however, are dominated by family-controlled companies and are under a better established governance system. Chang *et al.* (2013) mentioned that if the family business does not have a sound monitoring system, hiring an overconfident CEO will increase risks. Fan and Wong (2002) find the highly concentrated ownership of Asian family firms have both ability and incentive to effectively control the earnings reporting quality. Since the corporate dynamics and governance challenge of Taiwan present differently from those of Chinese capital markets, results from Chinese sample may not be good reference to be applied in Taiwan.

From the above evidence regarding the association between female directors and earnings quality, accrual-based discretionary accruals (DAs) are used as the proxy of the quality of earnings in many studies (i.e., Srinidhi, Gul, and Tsui, 2011), whereas REM is excluded in their respective methodologies. Recent literature demonstrates, however, that post SOX, management is more inclined to engage in REM instead of manipulation of DAs through AEM (Cohen and Zarowin, 2010; Cohen, Dey, and Lys, 2008). This suggests that firms are concerned about their AEM behaviors (which can be detected by external auditors), and in attempt to avoid the scrutiny of government agencies and regulators, result in a minimization of legal liabilities. AEM has both accumulative and reversal natures, which represent relatively short-term effects. However, a firm engages in REM to enhance the current period performance by

deviating from normal operating patterns. Consequently, REM adversely affects a firm's future cash flows and firm value to a greater extent and more severely than that of AEM. Although numerous studies investigate the relationship between corporate governance and earnings management (Matsuura, 2008; Sari, Warsono, and Suryaningsum, 2010; Srinidhi, Gul, and Tsui, 2011), their contexts consistently focus either on female directors and AEM, or on other governance effects of REM (Kang and Kim, 2012; Visvanathan, 2008). In addition, firms manipulate earnings by both of AEM and REM, whereas accruals-based earnings management (AEM) and real earnings management (REM) activities are commonly presented as a substitution. Our research focuses on the relation between female directors and REM, due to the topic that female directorship effect on REM has thus far been disregarded.

Psychological and behavioral economics have previously compared the gender differences, and they pointed out that women are more diligent and dutiful, more likely to avoid risks, more law-abiding, and less overconfident than men (Dowling and Aribi, 2013). Recently, scholars have also cited such gender differences within organizational management while observing financial reporting and audit quality. This has been reported especially in the United States and some European countries, where women are found to outperform men when financial reports are prepared, supervised, and audited. Krishnan and Parsons (2008) and Srinidhi, Gul, and Tsui (2011) find that gender diversity in high-level management contributes to improved earnings quality. Barua *et al.* (2010) find that financial reports are relatively conservative, while performance-matched DAs and the accrual estimation errors are lower, in companies where the CFO is female or if the male CFO is replaced with a female CFO. Finally, Ittonen and Vähämaa (2013) find that clients audited by female auditors usually report low absolute values of DAs and accrual estimation errors, which indicate a significant constraining effect on earnings management behaviors for clients audited by female auditors.

Along a similar vein, we argue that female directors usually require firms to establish a tighter internal control system, overcome deficiencies in internal control, strengthen communication with internal auditors or external auditors, and doubt radical accounting treatments conducted by senior management, which thus decrease errors or omissions in financial reports. Likewise, major

transactions that deviate from normal operations (i.e., share buy-back programs, debt/equity swaps, and long-term investments), are resolved by boards of directors. Female participation on boards facilitates a more thorough and prudent decision-making process and inhibits earnings management behaviors that may improve short-term performance, but compromise the long-term value of the firm. Therefore, we argue that the presence of female directors is negatively related to earnings management behaviors. Furthermore, when it comes to the effect of *greater* female representation on the board, owing to the argument of an earlier study that coins female appointment as “merely token” (i.e., Kanter, 1977; Zelechowski and Bilimoria, 2004), we conjecture that a greater representation of female board members (based on number and ratio) should distinguish the symbolic token effect from its potential influential power on the board. Erhardt, Werbel, and Shrader (2003), all support that the proportion of female directors is positively associated with the firm’s returns and firm performance.

Accordingly, the above research discussion helps develop the following hypotheses:

H1: Ceteris paribus, compared to firms without female directors, firms with females serving on the board of directors have lower level of accrual-based earnings management.

As stated above, prior studies find that firms engaged with earnings management have shifted their behavior from AEM to REM over time in recent years (Cohen, Dey, and Lys, 2008; Graham, Haverly, and Rajgopal, 2005). The increasing trend that firms involved with real operational transaction activities for real earnings management contributes towards developing a similar hypothesis as follows.

H2a: Ceteris paribus, compared to firms without female directors, firms with females serving on the board of directors have lower level of overall real earnings management.

Several studies on REM (including Cohen and Zarowin, 2010; Cohen, Dey, and Lys, 2008; Roychowdhury, 2006) have constructed common measures for REM metrics. These recognized measures contain activities that a firm engages in (1) lower of cash flow from operations, (2) reduction of the cost of goods sold by over-producing inventory, (3) cutting the discretionary expenditures that involving advertising, research and development projects (R&D), and selling,

general, and administrative expenses (SG&A). Empirical evidence from above literature all supports that these measures and REM metrics have successfully captured real activities manipulation among firms.

We concur with the argument when firms manages reported earnings upward, in a given sales level, may engage activities on price discounts, channel stuffing, overproduction, and (or) reduction of discretionary expenditures. That leads to firms have lower cash flow from operations, and/or unusually lower discretionary expenses, and/or higher production costs (Cohen and Zarowin, 2010). Along with the discussion above, we continue to argue that firms with female directors may constrain of real earnings management activities, a similar effect that constrains of REM metrics may occur with respect to various proxies of REM. In other words, the presence of female directors also constrains on transaction arrangements of REM firms from lowering cash flow from operation , lowering production costs, and (or) cutting excessively discretionary expenses.

Prior literature points out that the price discounts, channel stuffing, and overproduction have a negative effect on contemporaneous abnormal CFO (**Abn_CFO**), whereas reduction of discretionary expenditures has a positive effect. Thus the net effect on **Abn_CFO** is ambiguous (Roychowdhury, 2006). Based on the discussion, we thus propose the following hypotheses.

H2b: Ceteris paribus, compared to firms without female directors, firms with females serving on the board of directors exhibit at least one of the following: unusually high cash flow from operations (**Abn_CFO**), or unusually high discretionary expenditures (**Abn_DISX**).

H2c: Ceteris paribus, compared to firms without female directors, firms with females serving on the board of directors exhibit unusually low production costs (**Abn_PROD**).

3. Research method and models

In this section, we discuss earnings management measures most commonly used in prior literature.

3.1 Discretionary accruals (DAs) in accrual-based earnings management (AEM)

Both studies in Warfield, Wild and Wild (1995) and Francis, Maydew, and Sparks (1999) point out that DAs are the preferred measures employed in traditional earnings manipulation. Prior accounting literature routinely uses the Jones Model (1991) and the Modified Jones Model (MJM) to estimate DAs (Dechow, Sloan, and Sweeney, 1995) to reflect managerial discretion in the firm's earnings reporting. However, the estimation of DAs contains errors when corporate performance is abnormal (Dechow, Sloan, and Sweeney, 1995; Guay, Kothari, and Watts, 1996). Therefore, Kothari, Leone, and Wasley (2005) propose that a firm's return on assets (ROA) should be used as a control to correct errors arising from abnormal performance. Accordingly, our research incorporates the ROA into the Modified Jones Model for each industry and year to estimate DAs in model (1):

$$TA_{ijt}/A_{ijt-1} = a_{jt} + \varphi_{1jt}(1/A_{ijt-1}) + \varphi_{2jt}[(\Delta REV_{ijt} - \Delta REC_{ijt})/A_{ijt-1}] + \varphi_{3jt}(PPE_{ijt}/A_{ijt-1}) + \varphi_{4jt}ROA_{ijt} + \varepsilon_{ijt} \quad (1)$$

where

TA_{ijt} : Total accruals of the firm i of the industry j in period t is equal to the recurring earnings minus operating cash flows;

A_{ijt-1} : Total assets of the firm i of the industry j in period $t-1$;

ΔREV_{ijt} : Change in net sales revenues of the firm i of the industry j from period $t-1$ to period t ;

ΔREC_{ijt} : Change in accounts receivable of the firm i of the industry j from period $t-1$ to period t ;

PPE_{ijt} : Gross property, plant, and equipment of the firm i of the industry j in period t ;

ROA_{ijt} : Return on assets of the firm i of the industry j in period t ;

ε_{ijt} : Residual term of the firm i of the industry j in period t ;

Aligning with prior literature (i.e., Dechow, Sloan, and Sweeney, 1995), this study uses Ordinary Least Square regression (OLS) model (1) for accrual estimates on a year-by-year and industry-by-industry basis. In addition, the residual term ε in model (1) is the discretionary accrual, labeled as DA in this study. To remain the consistency with prior literature (i.e., Cohen, Dye, and Lys,

2008), we compute the absolute value of discretionary accruals to proxy for earnings management, and refer to it as **ABS_DA**⁵.

Following the same vein as Cohen, Dye, and Lys (2008), we also consider that managers have income-increasing (income-decreasing) incentives of earnings management in response to a particular event. Firms with positive values (negative values) of discretionary accruals imply that the managerial tendency to manage earnings upward (downward). Thus, we further divide DA into two groups: firms with income-increasing discretionary accruals by DA with the value greater or equal to zero (**DA_POS**), and firms with income-decreasing discretionary accruals by DA smaller to zero (**DA_NEG**). A firm with the positive DA (negative DA) is the indicator of managerial incentive to engage in upward (downward) accrual-based earnings management. We then repeat the model (1) and further examine the relationship between female directors and upward (downward) AEM.

3.2 REM index in real earnings management (REM)

Following Roychowdhury (2006), this study uses the aggregate measurement index of three variables, including abnormal cash flows, abnormal inventory production, and abnormal discretionary expenditures as the proxy to measure the degree of REM activities in which a firm may engage. Models (2), (3), and (4) below are specified to obtain residuals (ε_{it} , ω_{it} , and v_{it} , respectively) as the estimates of abnormal cash flows (**Abn_CFO**), abnormal inventory production (**Abn_PROD**), and abnormal discretionary expenditures (**Abn_DISX**). As Roychowdhury (2006) mentions, in a given sales level, firms that manage earnings upward are likely to exhibit usually low cash flow from operations (**Abn_CFO**) and/or low discretionary expenditures (**Abn_DISX**), and/or high producing cost (**Abn_PROD**). We then follow the methods developed in Cohen, Dey, and Lys (2008) and Chi, Lisic, and Pevzner (2011), which have standardized the estimates of three real earnings management proxies to capture the overall net effect of real earnings management by one aggregate variable. Following Chi, Lisic, and Pevzner (2011), we define **REM Index** as

⁵ We also perform our analysis using AEM proxy of discretionary accruals without taking absolute values, and the results remain unchanged with that of discretionary accruals with absolute values.

follows: **REMI** = - **Std_CFO** + **Std_PROD** - **Std_DISX**, where a high value of **REMI** represents a high level of overall REM, and vice versa. In other words, **REMI** = [- standardized cash flows (**Std_CFO**) + standardized inventory production (**Std_PROD**) - standardized discretionary expenditures (**Std_DISX**)]. Because the real earnings management activities directly affect cash flows, it is more difficult to detect. However, these three individual variables have different implications for earnings which may dilute the results using an aggregated measure (**REMI**). To accurately capture the effect without possible deviation, our study performs the following estimation models (2)~(6) to regress for each industry and year, and report our results corresponding to the above four REM proxies (**Abn_CFO**, **Abn_PROD**, **Abn_DISX**, and **REMI**).

We first use the model developed by Dechow, Kothari, and Watts (1998) and incorporate in Roychowdhury (2006) to generate the normal levels of cash flow from operations, production cost, and discretionary expenditures. The normal CFO is expressed as a linear function of sale revenues and change in sale revenues. To estimate the model, we run the following cross-sectional regression by year and industry identified by two-digit SIC code.

The residual of model (2) is used to estimate abnormal cash flows (**Abn_CFO**):

$$CFO_{it}/A_{i,t-1} = \varphi_{1t}(I/A_{i,t-1}) + \varphi_{2t}(Sales_{i,t}/A_{i,t-1}) + \varphi_{3t}(\Delta Sales_{i,t}/A_{i,t-1}) + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (2)$$

where **CFO** represents cash flows from operating activities in period t, and **Sales** (Δ **Sales**) represents net sales revenues (change of sales revenues). We have all variables deflated by the beginning of total assets as prior research suggested. Our abnormal CFO is depicted by that actual CFO minus the normal level of CFO calculated using the estimated coefficient from model (2).

Similarly, production costs are defined as the sum of cost of goods sold (**COGS**) and change in inventory during the year. Following Cohen, Dey and Lys (2008), the model for normal COSG is estimated as

$$COGS_{it}/A_{i,t-1} = \varphi_{1t}(I/A_{i,t-1}) + \varphi_{2t}(Sales_{i,t}/A_{i,t-1}) + \omega_{it} \quad (3)$$

Next, we estimate normal inventory growth using the following regression:

$$\Delta INV_{it}/A_{i,t-1} = \varphi_{1t}(I/A_{i,t-1}) + \varphi_{2t}(\Delta Sales_{i,t}/A_{i,t-1}) + \varphi_{3t}(\Delta Sales_{i,t-1}/A_{i,t-1}) + \omega_{it} \quad (4)$$

Using models (3) and (4), we estimate the residual of model (5) to estimate abnormal inventory production (**Abn_PROD**):

$$PROD_{it}/A_{i,t-1} = \varphi_{1t}(I/A_{i,t-1}) + \varphi_{2t}(Sales_{i,t}/A_{i,t-1}) + \varphi_{3t}(\Delta Sales_{i,t}/A_{i,t-1}) + \varphi_{4t}(\Delta Sales_{i,t-1}/A_{i,t-1}) + \omega_{it} \quad (5)$$

where **PROD** represents the total sum of cost of sales and inventory changes in period t. As mentioned earlier, overproduction has a negative effect on COGS relative to sales, but price discounts have a positive effect, which may result in an unclear and ambiguous net effect.

Finally, abnormal discretionary expenditure (**Abn_DISX**) is estimated using the residual of model (6):

$$DISX_{it}/A_{i,t-1} = \varphi_{1t}(I/A_{i,t-1}) + \varphi_{2t}(Sales_{i,t-1}/A_{i,t-1}) + v_{it} \quad (6)$$

where **DISX** represents the total sum of advertising costs, R&D expenses, and operating expenditures. If firms manage sales upward to reported earnings by reduction of discretionary expenditures in a year, it leads to abnormally low discretionary expense relative to sales and low residual from model (6) (Roychowdhury, 2006).

Based on the above discussion, our study employs discretionary accruals (includes **ABS_DA**, **DA_POS**, and **DA_NEG**) in AEM and a **REM metrics** (includes **REMI**, **Abn_CFO**, **Abn_PROD**, and **Abn_DISX**) in REM as proxies to measure the firm's earnings management activities.

3.3 Variables of interest

This study examines the effects of whether female directors constrain firms' earnings management behaviors in related settings: (1) whether the firm's board of directors contains female directors (**FEMnod**); we set an indicator variable that takes 1 if the firm consists of one or more female directors and 0 otherwise. (2) the number of female directors (**FEMno**); we use the number of female directors on the firm's board. (3) the proportion of female directors (**FEMnor**);

we use the ratio of female directors to the total number of directors in the firm. The variable of interest is the directors' gender, which is obtained by first retrieving the names of the directors from the Board of Directors Section of the *Taiwan Economic Journal* database. As the Directors Section does not contain gender identification, directors' gender is evaluated according to their documented first names. If it was difficult to judge director' gender by their first names, we sought further determination via an online database search or by phone, inquiring with the respective company.

3.4 Control variables

In addition to female directors as our key variable of interest, we also consider three sets of control variables in the research model as prior literature suggests.

First, our research model controls: (1) firm common characteristics, including firm size (**Size**), firm performance (**ROA**), and leverage ratio (**Lev**). We also include Market- to-Book ratio (**MTB**) and sales growth (**Sgrow**) to control for the firm's growth opportunity, which are expected to reversely affect the earnings management incentive. In addition, a firm's incentive for earnings management is positively related to a firm with negative earnings in a previous period (**Loss**) and with larger earnings fluctuations over the year (**ΔE**), which are also controlled for. Moreover, high audit quality is more likely to affect the selection of earnings management (Reichelt and Wang, 2010), thus (2) the firm's auditors and their related characteristics are included. We consider that Big Four auditors (**BigN**) and auditors with industry expertise (**Spec**) provide better audit quality (Becke *et al.*, 1998; Balsam, Krishnan, and Yang, 2003), whereas the tenure of an audit firm with the company (**Tenure**) and the company with a "going concern opinion" (**GC**) may offer greater incentives for earnings management (Myers, Myers, and Omer, 2003; Chen, Lin, and Lin, 2008). Additionally, (3) we recognize corporate governance characteristics specified in prior study in Southeast Asian samples. These control variables include whether the sample is a family-owned business (**Family**), the board chairman holds a dual role as the CEO (**Duality**), the degree of transparency in corporate information disclosure (**TRAN**), the independent directors ratio (**Indsr**), and the ratio of the directors in the firm's managerial positions to total directors (**Mgstr**).

It is expected that the higher the board independence (**Indsr**) (Klein, 2002; Peasnell, Pope, and Young, 2005; Xie, Davidson, and DaDalt, 2003) and disclosure (**TRAN**) (Hunton, Libby, and Mazza, 2006), the lower the abnormal accruals will be. However, we note that it is inconclusive as far as the direction between family-owned businesses and earnings management. Being a family-owned business may have a negative entrenchment effect on earnings quality (Shleifer and Vishny, 1997; Fan and Wong, 2002) and a positive alignment effect on earnings quality (Ali, Chen, and Radhakrishnan, 2007).

To summarize the above discussion, the research model to examine the relationships described above is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 REM_{j,t}(DA_{j,t}) = & \varphi_0 + \varphi_1 FEM_{i,t} + \varphi_2 Indsr_{i,t} + \varphi_3 Mgtsr_{i,t} + \varphi_4 Family_{i,t} + \\
 & \varphi_5 Size_{i,t} + \varphi_6 Lev_{i,t-1} + \varphi_7 Sgrow_{i,t-1} + \varphi_8 ROA_{i,t-1} + \\
 & \varphi_9 GC_{i,t} + \varphi_{10} Loss_{i,t} + \varphi_{11} \Delta E_{i,t-1} + \varphi_{12} MTB_{i,t-1} + \\
 & \varphi_{13} Duality_{i,t} + \varphi_{14} TRAN_{i,t} + \varphi_{15} BigN_{i,t-1} + \varphi_{16} Tenure_{i,t} \\
 & + \varphi_{17} Spec_{i,t} + YearDummy + IndustryDummy + \psi_{it} \quad (7)
 \end{aligned}$$

The definitions of the above variables are described in detail in Exhibit 1.

Exhibit 1 Variable description

Variables of interest

FEMnod	An indicator variable set as 1 if there is at least one female director on the board; zero otherwise.
FEMno	Number of female directors appointed to the firm's board.
FEMnor	Ratio of the firm's female directors to total directors.
ABS_DA	The absolute value of discretionary accrual, proxy for the firm's accrual-based earnings management (AEM) based on Modified Jones model (1990).
DA_POS	The value of positive discretionary accruals computed using the Modified Jones Model.
DA_NEG	The value of negative discretionary accruals computed using the Modified Jones Model.
Abn_CFO	Abnormal cashflow from operations, Abnormal CFO is actual CFO minus the normal level of CFO, measured as deviations from the predicted values from the corresponding industry-year regression

$$\text{CFO}_{it}/A_{i,t-1} = \varphi_{1t}(1/A_{i,t-1}) + \varphi_{2t}(\text{Sales}_{i,t}/A_{i,t-1}) + \varphi_{3t}(\Delta\text{Sales}_{i,t}/A_{i,t-1}) + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Abn_PROD Abnormal production costs (Production costs are defined as the sum of COGS and change in inventory during the year), measured as deviations from the predicted values from the corresponding industry-year regression

$$\text{Prod}_{it}/A_{i,t-1} = \varphi_{1t}(1/A_{i,t-1}) + \varphi_{2t}(\text{Sales}_{i,t}/A_{i,t-1}) + \varphi_{3t}(\Delta\text{Sales}_{i,t}/A_{i,t-1}) + \varphi_{4t}(\Delta\text{Sales}_{i,t-1}/A_{i,t-1}) + \omega_{it}$$

Abn_DISX Abnormal discretionary expenses, Disx represents the discretionary expenditures in period t, defined as the sum of advertising expenses, R&D expenses and SG&A. Abnormal discretionary expenses measured as deviation from the predicted values from the corresponding industry-year regression

$$\text{DiscExp}_{it}/A_{i,t-1} = \varphi_{1t}(1/A_{i,t-1}) + \varphi_{2t}(\text{Sales}_{i,t}/A_{i,t-1}) + v_{it}$$

REMI We compute a single variable by combining the three individual real earnings management variables. Specifically, we compute REMI as the sum of the standardized variables, Abn_CFO, Abn_PROD, and Abn_DISX. Real earnings management presents the degree of a firm's real earnings management activities and proxy by the aggregate index. REM Index = $-\text{Std_CFO} + \text{Std_PROD} - \text{Std_DISX}$, following Cohen, Dye, and Lys (2008) and Chi, Lisic, and Pevzner (2011).

Suspect An indicator variable that is set equal to one if return on assets in current year is between 0 and 1%, and is set equal to zero otherwise.

Suspect \times FEMnod An indicator variable that is set equal to one if the suspect firms with female directors, and is set equal to zero otherwise.

Control Variables

Indsr Ratio of the firm's number of independent directors to total directors.

Family An indicator variable set as 1 if the firm is a family-owned business; zero otherwise.

Mgtsr Ratio of the directors in firm's managerial positions to total directors.

Lev The leverage ratio in previous year.

Size Natural logarithm of total assets at the beginning of the year.

Sgrow Sales growth ratio in previous year, defined as $(\text{Sales}_{t-1} - \text{Sales}_{t-2})/\text{Sales}_{t-2}$

ROA Return on assets in previous year, defined as net income before

	extraordinary items divided by the average total assets
GC	An indicator variable set as 1 if the firm's auditors issue a going concern opinion; zero otherwise.
Loss	An indicator variable set as 1 if the firm reports a net loss for the year; zero otherwise.
ΔE	Change in earnings from previous year, deflated by total assets in previous year.
MTB	Market-to-book ratio in previous year.
Duality	An indicator variable set as 1 if CEO holds dual positions as the board chairman; zero otherwise.
BigN	An indicator variable set as 1 if the firm is audited by one of the Big Four auditing firms; zero otherwise.
Tenure	Number of years the auditor has audited the firm's financial statements.
Spec	An indicator variable set as 1 if the auditor of the firm has been classified as an industry expert; zero otherwise.
TRAN	The firm's information transparency ranking. The Stock Exchange Commissions of the Taiwan Securities and Futures Bureau evaluates all listed companies on their information disclosure. Firms are scored from 1 to 5, with 5 being the greatest transparency. Chi, Tang, and Chen (2012) use the same proxy for the evaluation of corporate transparency in Taiwanese listed companies.
FEMind	Female directors who also serve as independent directors.
Age	The number of years that firms have reported in TEJ.
Diversity	Total diversification modified in Palepu (1985).
Risk	Standard deviation in daily returns over a firm's fiscal year (standardized to a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1)
Vwret	The value-weighted market returns measured over the fiscal year
Insti	Institutional holding ratio.
Bseat	Number of directors appointed to the firm's board.
Tobinq	Tobin's Q, the book value of assets minus the book value of equity, plus the market value of equity, scaled by the book value of assets
IMR	Inverse Mills ratio. It is the ratio of probability density function to the cumulative distribution function, and is commonly estimated from the first- stage of Heckman procedure (1979) to control for selection bias. See

details in Heckman (1979).

4. Sample and empirical results

4.1 Data and sample

The sample selection for this research is retrieved from the Board of Directors Section of the *Taiwan Economics Journal* database referencing years 2006 and 2010, which contains all public companies listed in the Taiwan Stock Exchange. All other corresponding financial and accounting data are retrieved from the *Taiwan Economics Journal*. After we exclude firms in the financial and insurance industry, and eliminate firms with missing data, 3,102 firm-year observations remain for our sample. Table 1 describes the distribution of sample among the test period. As shown in Table 1, the companies that appointed at least one female director account for about 48 percent; only 117 firm-year

Table 1
Sample distribution of firms with female directors by year

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total	%
FEMnod							
0	305	314	326	337	337	1619	52%
1	288	294	294	295	312	1483	48%
Total	593	608	620	632	649	3102	100%
FEMno							
0	305	314	326	337	337	1619	52.2%
1	196	184	184	186	199	949	30.6%
2	75	93	87	82	80	417	13.4%
3	14	14	18	22	28	96	3.1%
4	1	2	4	3	4	14	0.5%
5	1	0	1	2	1	5	0.2%
6	1	1	0	0	0	2	0.1%
Total	593	608	620	632	649	3102	100%
FEMnor							
Total	9.6%	10.0%	9.9%	10.0%	10.1%	10.0%	

Note: **FEMnod**: the presence of female directors and an indicator variable, sets as 1 if there is at least one female director on board in the company; zero otherwise. **FEMno**: the number of female directors appointed in the company. **FEMnor**: the ratio of female directors to total directors in the company.

observations appointed at least three female directors, accounting for 3.8 percent. The companies having designated only one female director seat account for the largest proportion.

4.2 Descriptive statistics analysis

As shown in Table 2, we find the average number of female directors across all sample firms is 0.698 per firm with a high standard deviation of 0.878, and the average ratio of female directors to total director seats is about 10 percent. This suggests that females still make up a small proportion of board of directors seats among Taiwanese companies. The average leverage ratio of our sample is 42 percent, and the average ROA is 5.6 percent. We also note that family-owned companies account for 66 percent and firms audited by Big Four auditors account for 85 percent, of which the average tenure per auditing firm relationship is 14 years. Lastly, there are approximately 5 percent of sample firms audited by auditors possessing industry expertise. We also winsorize related variables in the sample at the 1% and 99% levels for each year to eliminate the possible outlier effect.

4.3 Correlation analysis

Table 3 reports the Pearson correlation coefficients among variables for the entire sample. We learn, by construct, that **Abn_CFO**, **Abn_PROD**, and **Abn_DISX** are negatively, positively, and negatively correlated with **REMI**. In addition, **ROA** is negatively correlated with **REMI** and **Abn_PROD**, but positively correlated with **Abn_CFO** and **Abn_DISX**. Suspect firms (**Suspect**) also exhibit a positive correlation with **REMI**. These correlation coefficients are relatively low and statistically significant. Our analysis indicates that Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) values of all independent variables are less than 2, suggesting the collinearity issue may not be a concern.

4.4 Main regression analysis

This research investigates the relationship between female directors and earnings management behavior. The main variables of interest include **FEMnod**, **FEMno**, and **FEMnor**; the dependent variable is various measures of earnings management, for which **ABS_DA** is proxy to measure accrual-based earnings

Table 2
Descriptive statistics

Variable	N	mean	p25	p50	p75	std. dev.
Abn_CFO	3102	0.036	-0.023	0.038	0.093	0.121
Abn_PROD	3102	0.093	-0.040	0.111	0.230	0.290
Abn_DISX	3102	-0.003	-0.040	-0.019	0.013	0.069
REMI	3102	0.022	-0.335	0.206	0.622	1.103
ABS_DA	3102	0.065	0.022	0.048	0.087	0.065
DA	3102	-0.001	-0.051	-0.003	0.045	0.092
DA_POS	1506	0.065	0.022	0.047	0.087	0.072
DA_NEG	1596	-0.064	-0.087	-0.049	-0.022	0.058
FEMnod	3102	0.478	0	0	1	0.500
FEMno	3102	0.698	0	0	1	0.878
FEMnor	3102	0.100	0	0	0.15	0.132
Indsr	3102	0.111	0	0	0.286	0.153
Family	3102	0.661	0	1	1	0.473
Mgtsr	3102	0.125	0	0	0.2	0.154
Lev	3101	41.742	28.87	42.38	53.96	16.856
Size	3101	15.974	15.060	15.772	16.664	1.318
Sgrow	3101	9.326	-7.27	6.31	22.39	28.975
ROA	3101	5.604	1.89	5.21	9.16	7.096
GC	3102	0.003	0	0	0	0.054
Loss	3102	0.155	0	0	0	0.362
ΔE	3101	0.314	-0.815	-0.128	0.463	6.488
MTB	3101	1.656	0.900	1.36	2.060	1.109
Duality	3102	0.278	0	0	1	0.448
BigN	3101	0.852	1	1	1	0.355
Tenure	3102	13.794	9	14	19	6.654
Spec	3102	0.051	0	0	0	0.219
TRAN	3102	3.430	3	3	5	1.179
Suspect	3102	0.051	0	0	0	0.219
Suspect×FEMnod	3102	0.025	0	0	0	0.157

Note:

- (1) Please see exhibit 1 for variable descriptions.
- (2) Please see exhibit 2 for t-test of dependent variables.
- (3) Please see exhibit 3 for mean of dependent variables at each female directors level.

Table 3
Pearson correlation matrix

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	
1 REMI	1																										
2 Abn_CFO	-.391**	1																									
3 Abn_PROD	.515**	.018	1																								
4 Abn_DISX	-.900**	.052**	-.301**	1																							
5 ABS_DA	.018	-.044*	.005	-.001	1																						
6 DA	-.095**	.181**	-.081**	.010	.144**	1																					
7 FEMnod	.035*	-.030	.041*	-.017	-.013	.023	1																				
8 FEMno	.033	-.049**	.016	-.014	-.022	.018	.830**	1																			
9 FEMnor	.030	-.080**	-.001	-.003	-.019	.009	.793**	.935**	1																		
10 Indsr	-.144**	.098**	-.194**	.068**	.048**	-.011	-.052**	-.058**	-.051**	1																	
11 Family	.109**	-.113**	.064**	-.064**	-.010	.049**	.080**	.057**	.087**	-.191**	1																
12 Mgtstr	-.078**	.087**	-.033	.048**	.018	-.024	-.095**	-.086**	-.088**	.023	-.114**	1															
13 Lev	.158**	-.048**	.303**	-.070**	.004	.056**	-.001	-.009	-.004	-.089**	.062**	-.037*	1														
14 Size	.088**	.291**	.406**	-.096**	-.057**	.005	.016	-.001	-.063**	-.074**	-.005	.029	.343**	1													
15 Sgrow	.016	.080**	.120**	-.013	.048**	.017	-.005	-.024	-.019	.057**	-.017	.020	.096**	.084**	1												
16 ROA	-.181**	.316**	-.046**	.071**	-.020	-.037*	-.047**	-.065**	-.066**	.203**	-.095**	.090**	-.256**	.069**	.327**	1											
17 GC	.045*	-.023	.040*	-.032	-.003	-.017	.020	.039*	.030	.008	-.012	.019	-.019	-.003	.031	-.041*	1										
18 Loss	.137**	-.219**	.032	-.063**	.002	-.117**	.053**	.035	.036*	-.077**	.094**	-.067**	.066**	-.057**	-.061**	-.304**	.110**	1									
19 ΔE	-.038*	.085**	-.015	.005	.035*	.065**	-.019	-.012	-.026	-.002	-.029	.029	-.027	-.046*	.010	.011	-.027	-.192**	1								
20 MTB	-.201**	.211**	-.136**	.110**	.055**	.008	-.005	-.024	-.027	.239**	-.082**	.055**	-.105**	.045*	.242**	.575**	-.011	-.165**	.003	1							
21 Duality	.028	-.078**	-.026	-.009	.012	-.027	.100**	.094**	.135**	-.020	-.005	-.025	-.039*	-.076**	-.026	-.041*	-.020	.015	.006	-.038*	1						
22 BigN	-.084**	.101**	-.103**	.024	-.045*	-.029	-.038*	-.053**	-.045*	.162**	-.084**	.086**	-.038*	.098**	-.007	.133**	-.045*	-.048**	.002	.126**	-.018	1					
23 Spec	.005	.075**	.033	-.028	.007	.038*	-.030	-.019	-.055**	-.010	-.068**	-.002	.063**	.235**	.012	.016	-.012	-.046*	.062**	.059**	.011	.071**	1				
24 TRAN	-.090**	.168**	.003	.038*	-.053**	-.024	-.078**	-.074**	-.124**	.065**	-.084**	.050**	-.035	.213**	-.018	.114**	-.035	-.095**	.029	.045*	-.049**	.128**	.130**	1			
25 Suspect	.041*	-.024	.017	-.035	-.027	.002	.009	.009	.014	-.051**	-.013	-.023	.040*	.008	-.045*	-.115**	-.008	.078**	.100**	-.094**	.025	-.058**	-.016	-.047**	1		
26 Suspect× FEMnod	.034	-.027	.012	-.027	-.016	.017	.113**	.096**	.099**	-.053**	.001	-.035	.045*	.000	-.045*	-.082**	-.006	.054**	.026	-.061**	.047**	-.082**	.002	-.055**	.708**	1	

Note:

Please see exhibit 1 for variable descriptions.

*Significant at the 10% level. ** Significant at the 5% level. ***Significant at the 1% level.

management (AEM) and **Abn_CFO**, **Abn_PROD**, **Abn_DISX**, and **REMI** are proxies to measure real earnings management (REM), respectively. If the key variables of interest have a significantly negative correlation with **REMI**, or **ABS_DA** suggests that female directors could constrain earnings management behaviors. In order to avoid loss of many sample observations, we conduct a pooled cross section data, and perform OLS regression tests by controlling both year and industry fixed effects and by cluster firm to control within-firm serial correlation. We then report the heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors in cross-sectional applications to resolve concerns of cross-sectional heteroskedasticity, as this practice is being applied more in recent research work (Wooldridge, 2009).

Table 4 presents the regression results of **ABS_DA** earnings management. It shows that although **FEMnod** and **FEMno** are negatively related to DAs, the negative associations are not statistically significant. This indicates that a firm's DA earnings management behaviors may not be directly affected specifically by the appointment of female directors to the board. We find, however, **FEMnor** is weakly but negatively associated with **ABS_DA** ($p < 0.10$).

We also find that **ABS_DA** in earnings management are negatively related to firm size (**Size**) and return on assets in previous year (**ROA**) ($p < 0.01$), as well as restrained by Big Four auditors (**BigN**) and more extensive information transparency (**TRAN**) ($p < 0.05$), which indicate larger firm, greater performance, and firms audited by big Four are less likely to engage in AEM. However, **ABS_DA** are positively related to the increase of earnings changes (ΔE), **MTB** ratio ($p < 0.01$), and auditor's tenure (**Tenure**) ($p < 0.1$), which indicate firms with greater earnings change, higher growth opportunity, and longer auditors' tenure present higher likelihood to AEM.

Our untabulated analysis also learns the results of **upward (downward)** earnings management—with respect to **DA_POS (DA_NEG)**. It finds that three measures of female directors, **FEMnod**, **FEMno** and **FEMnor**, are negatively (positively) related to **DA_POS (DA_NEG)**, but are not statistically significant. The only exceptional result is that **FEMnor** is positively associated with **DA_NEG** in a marginal significant level ($p < 0.10$). This suggests that a firm's **upward** earnings management behavior may not be directly affected by the appointment of female directors to the board, while **downward** earnings

Table 4
Relationship between female directors (with respect to the presence, the number, and the proportion) and ABS_DA in accrual-based earnings management (AEM)

<i>DV=ABS_DA</i>	Coef.	t	Coef.	t	Coef.	t
Intercept	0.091 ***	5.84	0.091 ***	5.85	0.093 ***	5.94
FEMnod	-0.003	-1.09				
FEMno			-0.002	-1.65		
FEMnor					-0.018 *	-1.94
Indsr	0.018 *	1.93	0.018 *	1.94	0.018 *	1.94
Family	0.000	-0.04	0.000	-0.05	0.000	0.03
Mgtsr	0.009	1.16	0.009	1.17	0.009	1.15
Lev	0.000	-0.59	0.000	-0.63	0.000	-0.6
Size	-0.003 ***	-2.9	-0.003 ***	-2.91	-0.003 ***	-2.98
Sgrow	0.000	1.22	0.000	1.22	0.000	1.21
ROA	-0.001 ***	-3.34	-0.001 ***	-3.37	-0.001 ***	-3.36
GC	-0.015	-0.95	-0.014	-0.89	-0.014	-0.91
Loss	-0.002	-0.48	-0.002	-0.49	-0.002	-0.49
ΔE	0.000	1.48	0.000	1.48	0.000	1.46
MTB	0.006 ***	3.45	0.006 ***	3.43	0.006 ***	3.41
Duality	0.003	1.17	0.003	1.21	0.003	1.31
BigN	-0.008 **	-2.17	-0.008 **	-2.18	-0.007 **	-2.15
Tenure	0.000 *	-1.84	0.000 *	-1.84	0.000 *	-1.83
Spec	0.008	0.78	0.008	0.78	0.008	0.77
TRAN	-0.003 **	-2.35	-0.003 **	-2.37	-0.003 **	-2.42
Year dummy	yes		yes		yes	
Industry dummy	yes		yes		yes	
Adj R ²	0.061		0.061		0.061	
N	3101		3101		3101	

Note:

Please see exhibit 1 for variable descriptions.

*Significant at the 10% level. ** Significant at the 5% level. *** Significant at the 1% level.

management behavior may be mitigated by a higher ratio of female directors sitting on the board (**FEMnor**).

Tables 5, 6, 7 provide empirical evidence on hypotheses of *H2a*, *H2b*, and *H2c* that examine REM. Table 5 reports the results of relationship between the presence of female directors (**FEMnod**) and REM metrics. The fourth column of Table 5, where dependent variable is the aggregate index (**REMI**), shows that our variable of interest on female directors presents a significant and negative association with the REM (coef = -0.101, $p < 0.05$). However, the third column (DV = **Abn_DISX**) presents a positive coefficient of 0.007 on **FEMnod** with a significant level of 5%. This finding implies that firms with female directors exhibit higher level of discretionary expenditures spending above the normal level by 0.7% of total assets in comparison to that of firms without the presentation of female director. This difference is economically large, given that the median of **Abn_DISX** variable is -1.9% (Table 2), and suggests that most firms have a reduction of discretionary expenditures below the normal level by 1.9% while firms with female directors have not done so.

However, we do not find a significant relationship between **FEMnod** and other REM proxies of **Abn_CFO**, **Abn_PROD** in Table 5. We argue that **Abn_PROD** is not statistically significant, which may be due to overproduction as a REM strategy is mainly available for firms in manufacturing industries. Our results conclude that, on average, firms with the presence of female directors have less real earnings management activities, and also present less practice on excessive reduction of discretionary expenditures, which support our *H2a* and *H2b*.

Table 6 reports the results of the relationship between the number of female directors appointed to the board (**FEMno**) and REM metrics. In the third column of Table 6 (dependent variable is **Abn_DISX**), we find the coefficient of **FEMno** is positive, and significantly related to **Abn_DISX** (coef = 0.003, $p < 0.05$). This implies that firm with more female directors have higher level of discretionary expenditures above the normal level with respect to that of firms without female directors on the board. In the fourth column (**REMI**), we learn

Table 5
Relationship between the presence of female directors and proxies of real earnings management (REM): with respect to the abnormal cash flow from operations, the abnormal production costs, the abnormal discretionary expenditures, and the aggregate REM Index

DV=	Abn_CFO		Abn_PROD		Abn_DISX		REMI	
	Coef.	t	Coef.	t	Coef.	t	Coef.	t
Intercept	-0.426 ***	-14.32	-1.189 ***	-18.89	0.070 ***	3.7	-0.782 ***	-2.78
FEMnod	0.000	0.1	-0.008	-0.99	0.007 **	2.58	-0.101 **	-2.54
Indsr	0.015	1.01	-0.152 ***	-4.78	0.017 **	2.44	-0.428 ***	-3.62
Family	-0.011 **	-2.56	-0.020 **	-2.51	-0.005	-1.49	0.070	1.63
Mgtsr	0.011	0.94	0.014	0.59	0.011 *	1.66	-0.160	-1.56
Lev	0.000	-0.53	0.001 ***	2.68	0.000	1.54	0.000	-0.22
Size	0.024 ***	13.24	0.080 ***	20.53	-0.006 ***	-6.24	0.088 ***	5.81
Sgrow	0.000	-0.68	0.000 **	2.08	0.000 *	-1.65	0.001	1.43
ROA	0.003 ***	4.66	0.001	1.02	0.000	-0.17	-0.009 ***	-2.97
GC	0.006	0.19	0.168 **	2.3	-0.036 ***	-3.37	0.610 ***	2.73
Loss	-0.047 ***	-8.53	0.037 ***	3.47	-0.011 ***	-3.24	0.329 ***	6.99
ΔE	0.000 ***	4.37	0.000 ***	7.9	0.000 ***	-3.95	0.000 **	2.5
MTB	0.003	0.84	-0.023 ***	-3.72	0.004 ***	3.65	-0.087 ***	-4.67
Duality	-0.009 **	-2.03	0.003	0.33	0.004	1.51	-0.016	-0.44
BigN	-0.002	-0.35	-0.044 ***	-3.8	0.000	-0.1	-0.036	-0.79
Tenure	0.001 **	2.01	0.002 ***	3.64	-0.001 ***	-4.4	0.010 ***	3.88
Spec	-0.006	-0.73	-0.053 ***	-2.93	-0.006	-0.76	0.036	0.35
TRAN	0.006 ***	3.47	-0.008 **	-2.06	0.002 **	2.04	-0.057 ***	-3.34
Year dummy	yes		yes		yes		yes	
Industry dummy	yes		yes		yes		yes	
Adj R ²	0.283		0.498		0.179		0.282	
N	3101		3101		3101		3101	

Note:

Please see exhibit 1 for variable descriptions.

* Significant at the 10% level. ** Significant at the 5% level. *** Significant at the 1% level.

Table 6
Relationship between the number of female directors and proxies of real earnings management (REM): with respect to the abnormal cash flow from operations, the abnormal production costs, the abnormal discretionary expenditures, and the aggregate REM Index.

DV=	Abn_CFO		Abn_PROD		Abn_DISX		REMI	
	Coef.	t	Coef.	t	Coef.	t	Coef.	t
Intercept	-0.426 ***	-14.31	-1.188 ***	-18.90	0.070 ***	3.72	-0.783 ***	-2.79
FEMno	-0.002	-0.84	-0.007	-1.31	0.003 **	2.28	-0.045 **	-2.09
Indsr	0.015	0.99	-0.152 ***	-4.77	0.017 **	2.37	-0.421 ***	-3.55
Family	-0.011 **	-2.54	-0.020 **	-2.53	-0.004	-1.42	0.067	1.56
Mgtsr	0.011	0.92	0.014	0.59	0.010	1.59	-0.154	-1.5
Lev	0.000	-0.57	0.001 ***	2.64	0.000	1.56	0.000	-0.23
Size	0.024 ***	13.26	0.080 ***	20.53	-0.006 ***	-6.14	0.087 ***	5.71
Sgrow	0.000	-0.69	0.000 **	2.08	0.000 *	-1.67	0.001	1.44
ROA	0.003 ***	4.64	0.001	1.01	0.000	-0.15	-0.009 ***	-2.98
GC	0.008	0.23	0.170 **	2.34	-0.037 ***	-3.49	0.624 ***	2.84
Loss	-0.046 ***	-8.52	0.037 ***	3.48	-0.011 ***	-3.19	0.325 ***	6.97
ΔE	0.000 ***	4.45	0.000 ***	7.97	0.000 **	-4.02	0.000 **	2.57
MTB	0.003	0.83	-0.023 ***	-3.73	0.004 ***	3.67	-0.087 ***	-4.68
Duality	-0.008 *	-1.94	0.003	0.37	0.004	1.58	-0.019	-0.51
BigN	-0.002	-0.35	-0.044 ***	-3.81	0.000	-0.07	-0.038	-0.82
Tenure	0.001 **	2	0.002 ***	3.67	-0.001 ***	-4.43	0.010 ***	3.92
Spec	-0.006	-0.75	-0.053 ***	-2.93	-0.006	-0.79	0.039	0.38
TRAN	0.006 ***	3.4	-0.008 **	-2.07	0.002 **	1.99	-0.057 ***	-3.29
Year dummy	yes		yes		yes		yes	
Industry dummy	yes		yes		yes		yes	
Adj R ²	0.283		0.498		0.178		0.281	
N	3101		3101		3101		3101	

Note:

Please see exhibit 1 for variable descriptions.

*Significant at the 10% level. ** Significant at the 5% level. ***Significant at the 1% level.

that the coefficient of **FEMno** is as expected and negatively associated with **REMI** (coef = -0.045, $p < 0.05$). This implies that firms with more female directors have less real earnings management, and less on excessive reduction of discretionary expenditures than those of firms without female directors. The findings again support our *H2a* and *H2b*.

Table 7 presents the results of relationship between the proportion of female directors on the board (**FEMnor**) and REM metrics. In the third column of Table 7 (**Abn_DISX**), it is reported that **FEMnor** remains a significant and positive relationship with **Abn_DISX** (coef = 0.029, $p < 0.01$), while **FEMnor** in the fourth column of Table 7 presents a negative correlation with **REMI** (coef = -0.357, $p < 0.05$). Similar to findings in Table 5 and Table 6, this results suggest that firm with higher ratio of female directors have lower level of real earnings management practice and less on excessive reduction of discretionary expenditures when we compared to those of firms without female directors, supporting our *H2a* and *H2b*.

Results from Tables 5, 6, and 7 consistently demonstrate that the firm's REM behavior is significantly constrained by female directors on the board, regardless of measures of female directors. This relationship is mainly driven by the proxy of the firm's discretionary expenditures above the normal level (**Abn_DISX**), and no evidence to find such relation to other REM proxies of **Abn_CFO** and **Abn_PROD**. Graham, Harvey, and Rajgopal (2005) indicate a common practice of REM is that about 80% of participants are willing to decrease discretionary expense spending to meet an earnings target. However, our study provides alternative evidence that a mitigating effect on REM can be achieved as long as the firm has at least one female director on the board, which leads to lower level of REM. More specifically, firms with female directors on the board are likely to constrain the frequently regarded myopia behavior of excessive reduction on discretionary spending.

In addition, the results from Tables 5-7 suggest that REM activities (**REMI**) are also inhibited by the independent directors' ratio (**Indsr**), the **MTB**, as well as information transparency (**TRAN**) ($p < 0.01$). However, a large firm (**Size**), a

Table 7
Relationship between the proportion of female directors and proxies of real earnings management (REM): with respect to the abnormal cash flow from operations, the abnormal production costs, the abnormal discretionary expenditures, and the aggregate REM Index.

DV=	Abn_CFO		Abn_PROD		Abn_DISX		REMI	
	Coef.	t	Coef.	t	Coef.	t	Coef.	t
Intercept	-0.424 ***	-14.20	-1.185 ***	-18.8	0.068 ***	3.59	-0.754 ***	-2.68
FEMnor	-0.018	-1.24	-0.045	-1.26	0.029 ***	2.71	-0.357 **	-2.33
Indsr	0.015	0.99	-0.152 ***	-4.77	0.017 **	2.38	-0.422 ***	-3.56
Family	-0.010 **	-2.48	-0.020 **	-2.47	-0.005	-1.52	0.071	1.64
Mgtsr	0.011	0.90	0.014	0.58	0.011	1.63	-0.157	-1.53
Lev	0.000	-0.56	0.001 ***	2.68	0.000	1.5	0.000	-0.18
Size	0.024 ***	13.19	0.080 ***	20.49	-0.006 ***	-5.97	0.086 ***	5.58
Sgrow	0.000	-0.69	0.000 **	2.08	0.000 *	-1.67	0.001	1.44
ROA	0.003 ***	4.63	0.001	1.01	0.000	-0.14	-0.009 ***	-2.99
GC	0.008	0.23	0.170 **	2.33	-0.037 ***	-3.43	0.623 ***	2.82
Loss	-0.046 ***	-8.52	0.037 ***	3.46	-0.011 ***	-3.19	0.325 ***	6.96
ΔE	0.000 ***	4.43	0.000 ***	7.87	0.000 ***	-3.89	0.000 **	2.43
MTB	0.003	0.83	-0.023 ***	-3.73	0.004 ***	3.69	-0.087 ***	-4.69
Duality	-0.008 *	-1.86	0.004	0.42	0.003	1.4	-0.014	-0.38
BigN	-0.002	-0.34	-0.044 ***	-3.79	0.000	-0.12	-0.036	-0.78
Tenure	0.001 **	2.00	0.002 ***	3.68	-0.001 ***	-4.44	0.010 ***	3.94
Spec	-0.006	-0.78	-0.054 ***	-2.95	-0.006	-0.74	0.035	0.34
TRAN	0.006 ***	3.34	-0.008 **	-2.1	0.002 **	2.08	-0.058 ***	-3.34
Year dummy	yes		yes		yes		yes	
Industry dummy	yes		yes		yes		yes	
Adj R ²	0.284		0.498		0.179		0.281	
N	3101		3101		3101		3101	

Note:

Please see exhibit 1 for variable descriptions.

* Significant at the 10% level. ** Significant at the 5% level. *** Significant at the 1% level.

firm with going concern opinion (**GC**), a firm reports a net loss on earnings (**Loss**), and auditors with long tenure (**Tenure**) all present a positive relationship to be more likely to engage in REM behavior ($p < 0.01$).

4.5 Suspect firm analysis

Graham, Harvey and Rajgopal (2005) provide survey evidence that managers are more willing to take real economic actions to manipulate their earnings to meeting earnings target or avoid reporting losses. Prior literature also suggests that firm-years with earnings right at or just above benchmarks are likely to engage in earnings management (Burgstahler and Dichev, 1997; Degeorge, Patel and Zeckhauser, 1999). Therefore, we further develop a test to investigate the effect of female directors on earnings management behavior of suspect firms. Following prior studies on REM, (i.e., Roychowdhury, 2006; Zang, 2012), we define that suspect firms are those firm-years report profits just right at or above zero to meet their earnings threshold. Based on the same notion, our study expects the suspect firm-years are pre-commit to engage in real activity manipulations to avoid losses or report small profits before the end of fiscal year. It is of our interests to further examine whether the measures of female directors constrain of REM among suspect firm-years reporting small annual profits.⁶

We conduct “suspect firms” variable, **Suspect**, to investigate whether suspect firms with the presence of female directors (**Suspect**×**FEMnod**) constrain real earnings management activities. To be comparable with prior literature, we set “suspect firm” as an indicator variable: that is set equal to one if the firm with return on assets (**ROA**) in current year is between 0 and 1%, and is set equal to zero otherwise. If suspect firms with female directors inhibit the real earning management, we expect to find that coefficients of variable **Suspect**×**FEMnod** should negatively associate with **REMI** and **Abn_PROD**,

⁶ We also conduct tests on the relationship of suspect firms, female directors, and AEM. In the untabulated results, we also perform regression of model (1), after including suspect firm variables (**Suspect** and **Suspect**×**FEMnod**). The results indicate that coefficients of FEM, Suspect and Suspect×FEMnod are not significantly associated with **ABS_DA**, **DA_POS**, and **DA_NEG**.

and positively relate to **Abn_CFO** and **Abn_DISX**. Table 8 presents the results of relationship between the presences of female directors (**FEMnod**), suspect firm (**Suspect**), the main variable of interest on the interaction term (**Suspect**×**FEMnod**) and REM metrics.

In Table 8, the coefficients of suspect firms (**Suspect**) are significantly and negatively relate to **Abn_CFO** (coef = -0.03, $p < 0.01$) and **Abn_DISX** (coef = -0.014, $p < 0.01$) and positively associate with **REMI** (coef = 0.29, $p < 0.01$).

This indicates that suspect firms have more incentive to manipulate their earnings by real transaction activities, which resonates with the results of Roychowdury (2006).

We learn that our variable of interest, suspect firm with female directors, (**Suspect**×**FEMnod**) is negatively associated with **REMI**, **Abn_PROD**, but positively associated with **Abn_DISX** and **Abn_CFO** in Table 8. Although the direction of coefficients is as expected on REM proxies, the association is not statistically supported⁷. The findings imply that managers of suspect firms may have strong incentive to manipulate earnings in order to avoid reported losses, regardless of the possible mitigating effect from the female directors sitting on the board. That is, female directors in suspect firms “alone” may not present sufficient effect to constrain such managerial incentive and lower earnings manipulation behavior.⁸ We argue that our results partially resonate with prior research findings that the amounts involved in manipulating earnings around the zero-earnings threshold may be small (Graham, Harvey, and Rajgopal, 2005; Gunny, 2010; Lee *et al.*, 2015), and thus monitoring the small manipulation is costly or even impossible (Moeckel, 1990). Consequently, the small manipulation around the zero-earnings threshold may not be uncovered by

⁷ We repeat the analyses by using two other measures of female directors, **Femno** and **Femnor**, and our empirical results remain qualitatively similar with results of Table 8.

⁸ We also conduct a sub-sample test (1) with “only suspect firms” and another sub-sample test (2) with “all loss firms”, we find that (1) earnings manipulation activities of suspect firms, if any, are relatively so small that it is very difficult to detect in such activities, (2) no particular relation presents among REM index and directors for those experience negative earnings in the year (loss firms), regardless of male or female directors representation. We thank the anonymous reviewer’s valuable suggestions on the analyses.

Table 8
Relationship between the presence of female directors and proxies of real earnings management (REM): with respect to the abnormal cash flow from operations, the abnormal production costs, the abnormal discretionary expenditures, and the aggregate REM Index

DV=	Abn_CFO		Abn_PROD		Abn_DISX		REMI	
	Coef.	t	Coef.	t	Coef.	t	Coef.	t
Intercept	-0.420 ***	-13.73	-1.192 ***	-18.81	0.073 ***	3.81	-0.842 ***	-2.92
FEMnod	0.000	0.03	-0.007	-0.83	0.007 **	2.51	-0.097 **	-2.41
Suspect	-0.030 ***	-3.81	0.015	0.94	-0.014 ***	-2.88	0.290 ***	4.36
Suspect×FEMnod	0.003	0.15	-0.039	-0.87	0.003	0.4	-0.092	-0.67
Indsr	0.014	0.92	-0.152 ***	-4.78	0.016 **	2.36	-0.417 ***	-3.52
Family	-0.011 ***	-2.66	-0.019 **	-2.37	-0.005	-1.55	0.076 *	1.74
Mgtsr	0.011	0.94	0.014	0.58	0.011 *	1.66	-0.160	-1.57
Lev	0.000	-0.52	0.001 ***	2.7	0.000	1.55	0.000	-0.22
Size	0.024 ***	13.4	0.080 ***	20.55	-0.006 ***	-6.13	0.087 ***	5.69
Sgrow	0.000	-0.69	0.000 **	2.06	0.000	-1.63	0.001	1.45
ROA	0.003 ***	4.57	0.001	1.03	0.000	-0.28	-0.009 ***	-2.81
GC	0.014	0.43	0.167 **	2.26	-0.032 ***	-2.99	0.544 **	2.52
Loss	-0.046 ***	-8.33	0.038 ***	3.48	-0.011 ***	-3.18	0.325 ***	6.92
ΔE	0.000 ***	4.36	0.000 ***	7.88	0.000 ***	-3.95	0.000 **	2.5
MTB	0.003	0.81	-0.023 ***	-3.71	0.004 ***	3.62	-0.086 ***	-4.62
Duality	-0.008 *	-1.91	0.003	0.32	0.004	1.6	-0.021	-0.57
BigN	-0.002	-0.35	-0.044 ***	-3.79	0.000	-0.1	-0.036	-0.79
Tenure	0.001 **	1.99	0.002 ***	3.62	-0.001 ***	-4.43	0.010 ***	3.9
Spec	-0.006	-0.75	-0.052 ***	-2.9	-0.006	-0.77	0.039	0.37
TRAN	0.006 ***	3.38	-0.008 **	-2.06	0.002 *	1.96	-0.055 ***	-3.25
Year dummy	yes		yes		yes		yes	
Industry dummy	yes		yes		yes		yes	
Adj R ²	0.286		0.498		0.180		0.284	
N	3101		3101		3101		3101	

Note:

- (1) The Suspect firm includes 158 suspect-firm years, of which 78 suspect firm-years with female directors.
- (2) Please see exhibit 1 for variable descriptions.
- (3) * Significant at the 10% level. ** Significant at the 5% level. *** Significant at the 1% level.

auditors, which also makes it difficult for independent directors with financial expertise, and female directors serving on the board.

4.6 Additional analyses

4.6.1 Financial crisis period

It is conventional knowledge that business transactions are rather slow and the demand of business declines rapidly during the global financial crisis of 2008-2009. Due to the major impact from the crisis (Yeh and Yu, 2014), we find that our full sample consists of, on average, 133 firm-years with reported earnings below zero in the first two-year crisis period of 2008 and 2009, comparing an average of 76 firm-years in 2006 and 2007. We conjecture that these suspect firms, as earlier defined, have stronger incentive to excessively reduce discretionary expenditures or incline to preserve cash flows on hand to meet earnings thresholds and avoid losses during the period. Based on this notion, we further conduct a sub-sample only, containing firm-year observations during the crisis of 2008-2010 to re-examine the relationship of female directors (**FEMnod**), suspect firms, and REM. With the sub-sample of 1,901 firm-years, the results are reported in Table 9.

In Table 9, we find the coefficient of the **FEMnod** still significantly and negatively associate with **REMI** (coef = -0.131, $p < 0.05$), and positively associate with **Abn_DISX** (coef = 0.007, $p < 0.1$), which is consistent with **H2a** and **H2b**: firms with female directors present less real earnings management, and lower level on reduction of discretionary expenditures during the crisis period. The coefficient of the **Suspect** is still significantly and positively related to **REMI** (coef = 0.285, $p < 0.01$), and negatively associated with **Abn_DISX** (coef = -0.016, $p < 0.01$) and **Abn_CFO** (coef = -0.02, $p < 0.01$). In other words, suspect firms are more likely to engage in real earnings management activities. However, results of Table 9 also report that the coefficients of main variable of interest (**Suspect**×**FEMnod**) on various REM proxies are in the expected direction but are absent of statistical support. The results are very similar with

Table 9
Relationship between the presence of female directors and proxies of real earnings management (REM): with respect to the abnormal cash flow from operations, the abnormal production costs, the abnormal discretionary expenditures, and the aggregate REM Index and the sub-sample is during the financial crisis of 2008-2010.

DV=	Abn_CFO		Abn_PROD		Abn_DISX		REMI	
	Coef.	t	Coef.	t	Coef.	t	Coef.	t
Intercept	-0.651 ***	-9.78	-1.576 ***	-16.1	0.080 ***	3.38	-0.601 *	-1.65
FEMnod	0.010 *	1.95	-0.013	-1.28	0.007 *	1.85	-0.131 **	-2.54
Suspect	-0.020 *	-2.04	0.022	1.1	-0.016 ***	-2.84	0.285 ***	3.83
Suspect×FEMnod	-0.007	-0.4	-0.025	-0.52	0.009	0.85	-0.113	-0.74
Indsr	0.003	0.16	-0.109 ***	-2.75	0.012	1.48	-0.282 **	-1.99
Family	-0.012 **	-2.43	-0.017 *	-1.75	-0.005	-1.38	0.087	1.64
Mgtsr	0.007	0.48	0.020	0.78	0.014 *	1.67	-0.174	-1.4
Lev	0.000	-0.54	0.001 ***	2.7	0.000 *	1.9	-0.001	-0.56
Size	0.036 ***	16	0.104 ***	19.2	-0.008 ***	-5.93	0.098 ***	4.89
Sgrow	0.000 **	-2.17	0.001 ***	3.37	0.000	-1.37	0.003 ***	3.49
ROA	0.005 ***	4.23	0.002	0.89	0.000	-0.21	-0.012 ***	-2.93
GC	0.017	0.2	0.135 *	1.92	-0.058 ***	-5.6	0.830 ***	3.07
Loss	-0.033 ***	-4.47	0.039 ***	3.01	-0.010 **	-2.27	0.277 ***	4.57
ΔE	0.000	1.12	0.000 ***	-2.91	0.000	-0.65	0.001	0.44
MTB	0.004	0.94	-0.031 ***	-3.65	0.007 ***	4.41	-0.137 ***	-6.13
Duality	-0.009 *	-1.76	0.010	0.98	0.001	0.43	0.025	0.57
BigN	0.002	0.32	-0.045 ***	-2.95	-0.003	-0.72	-0.018	-0.29
Tenure	0.000	1.32	0.002 **	2.55	0.000 **	-2.26	0.006 **	2.11
Spec	-0.032 ***	-3.75	-0.107 ***	-4.53	0.003	0.21	-0.043	-0.27
TRAN	0.002	0.96	-0.010 **	-2.25	0.002	1.54	-0.045 **	-2.17
Year dummy	yes		yes		yes		yes	
Industry dummy	yes		yes		yes		yes	
Adj R ²	0.383		0.567		0.191		0.303	
N	1901		1901		1901		1901	

Note:

- (1) The sub-sample includes 98 suspect-firm years, of which 53 suspect firm-years with female directors.
- (2) Please see exhibit 1 for variable descriptions.
- (3) *Significant at the 10% level. ** Significant at the 5% level. ***Significant at the 1% level.

those of Table 8, even in the sub-sample analysis during the financial crisis of 2008-2010.

Lastly, our study further performs an analysis on a sub-sample that excludes firms without female directors and re-examine the hypotheses of **H2a**, **H2b** and **H2c**.⁹ The sub-sample consists of 1,483 firm-years, of which 949 firm-years have only one female director, and only 21 observations containing more than three female directors. As expected from limited sample distribution, the coefficient of ratio of female directors, **FEMnor**, does not support a significant relation with REM proxies. Our study does not find evidence that higher female director ratio alone constrains of REM among this sub-sample.

4.6.2 Controlling for endogeneity

Srinidhi, Gul, and Tsui (2011) stated, "Endogeneity results if firms with higher earnings quality choose more female participation on boards." For robustness and a similar concern, we control our analyses for endogeneity with the two-stage Heckman procedure (1979). Hillman, Shropshire, and Cannella (2007) report that size, industry type, firm diversification, and board network effects significantly affect the likelihood of female representation on boards. Linck, Netter, and Yang (2008) find that firms with growth opportunities, R&D expenditures, and stock return volatility are negatively related to independent boards. In addition, Farrell and Hersch (2005) find that, due to internal preferences or external pressure for greater board diversity, the demand for female representation allows women to self-select better performing firms. In addition, Boone *et al.* (2007) indicate that firm size, firm age, and the number of business segments are positively related to both board size and the proportion of independent board. It is also suggested that diversified firms operate in multiple segments are likely to have large boards and require outside expertise (Coles, Daniel and Naveen, 2008; Yermack, 1996). Based on the above studies, we propose the first-stage model as follows.

⁹ We very much appreciate the anonymous reviewer for valuable suggestion on this analysis.

First-stage model and analysis

We compute the inverse Mills ratio (IMR) from a Probit model, which is similar to that used in Srinidhi *et al.* (2011).

$$\begin{aligned} Pr[FEMnod=1]= & \Phi[c_0+ c_1ROA+ c_2Size +c_3Age +c_4Sgrow +c_5Indsr +c_6Lev \\ & +c_7Family +c_8Diversity +c_9Risk +c_{10}Vwret +c_{11}Insti \\ & +c_{12}Bseat +c_{13}Tobinq +c_{14}Duality +Industry\ dummy \\ & +Year\ dummy] \end{aligned}$$

Φ [.] denotes the Probit function. The definition of the above variables is described in detail in Exhibit 1. Our first-stage procedure is to first estimate by the Probit model and compute the inverse Mills ratio (**IMR**). Table 10 presents results of the first-stage Heckman procedure analysis, and the dependent variable is **FEMnod**. It is interesting to find that family firms (**Family**), bigger board (**Bseat**), firms with higher risk (**Risk**), and firms with CEOs also hold the chairmen positions of the board (**Duality**) may result in a greater likelihood of female directors appointment. However, factors of higher institutional holdings (**Insti**) and higher of returns on assets (**ROA**) may produce lower likelihood for firms employ female directors.

Second-stage model and Heckman results analysis

Next, we add the **IMR** from above first-stage model, and the female independent director variable (**FEMind**) into original REM model for the second-stage Heckman procedure (1979). Table 11 reports results after applying **IMR** in the second-stage Heckman regression. Although coefficients of **IMR** have the predicted direction, they are not supported statistically on the REM model. Therefore, we posit that self-selection potential issue may not present a major concern in our models. In addition, we also find that **FEMnod** still reports a negative association with **REMI** (coef = 0.125, $p < 0.01$), and the finding is very similar to that of Table 8 – overall female directors may constrain real earnings management.

Table 10
Results of Heckman procedure: The first-stage analysis

Analysis of the first-stage of Heckman procedure (1979) to control for the potential self-selection bias.

FEMnod	Coef.	z	P > z
Intercept	-0.716 *	-1.81	0.070
ROA	-0.008 **	-2.39	0.017
Size	-0.007	-0.28	0.777
Age	-0.003	-1.09	0.274
Sgrow	-0.001	-1.05	0.292
Indsr	-0.148	-0.8	0.421
Lev	-0.001	-0.64	0.523
Family	0.246 ***	4.49	0.000
Diversity	-0.270	-0.65	0.517
Risk	0.031 **	2.25	0.025
Vwret	-0.002	-1.18	0.240
Insti	-0.005 ***	-4.08	0.000
Bseat	0.143 ***	11.7	0.000
Tobinq	0.006	0.03	0.977
Duality	0.313 ***	5.68	0.000
Year dummy	yes		
Industry dummy	yes		
Pseudo R ²	0.117		
LR Statistics	501.48		
p-value	< 0.0001		
N	3096		

Note:

(1) Please see exhibit 1 for variable descriptions.

(2) *Significant at the 10% level. ** Significant at the 5% level. ***Significant at the 1% level.

Table 11
Results of Heckman procedure: Adding female independent directors

Analysis of the second-stage of Heckman procedure (1979) to control for the potential self-selection bias by adding IMR from the first-stage and independent female directors variable.

DV=	Abn_CFO		Abn_PROD		Abn_DISX		REMI	
	Coef.	t	Coef.	t	Coef.	t	Coef.	t
Intercept	-0.406 ***	-11.88	-1.053 ***	-14.37	0.113 ***	5.55	-1.241 ***	-4.13
FEMnod	0.005	1.04	-0.007	-0.78	0.008 ***	2.62	-0.125 ***	-2.84
IMR	0.030 ***	3.03	-0.030	-1.59	0.000	0.01	-0.129	-1.4
Suspect	0.012	1.17	0.023	0.92	-0.017 **	-2.2	0.201 *	1.81
Suspect×FEMnod	-0.006	-0.27	-0.029	-0.58	0.010	1	-0.139	-0.78
Femind	-0.010	-1.24	-0.026	-1.39	-0.009 **	-2.5	0.120 **	2.05
Indsr	0.017	1.09	-0.136 ***	-4.14	0.022 ***	3.04	-0.475 ***	-3.88
Family	-0.007 *	-1.66	-0.023 ***	-2.86	-0.005	-1.6	0.058	1.36
Mgtsr	0.015	1.26	0.013	0.53	0.012 *	1.79	-0.184 *	-1.8
Lev	0.000	-0.76	0.001 ***	2.87	0.000	1.52	0.000	-0.07
Size	0.025 ***	13.37	0.079 ***	20.26	-0.006 ***	-6.1	0.086 ***	5.55
Sgrow	0.000	-0.83	0.000 **	2.23	0.000	-1.6	0.001	1.56
ROA	0.003 ***	4.56	0.001	1.09	0.000	-0.3	-0.009 ***	-2.74
GC	0.011	0.33	0.174 **	2.34	-0.034 ***	-3.3	0.580 ***	2.6
Loss	-0.044 ***	-7.96	0.033 ***	3.09	-0.011 ***	-3.1	0.313 ***	6.59
ΔE	0.000 ***	4.51	0.000 ***	7.68	0.000 ***	-4	0.000 **	2.4
MTB	0.002	0.7	-0.023 ***	-3.7	0.004 ***	3.48	-0.083 ***	-4.46
Duality	-0.004	-0.83	-0.003	-0.26	0.004	1.36	-0.036	-0.92
BigN	-0.002	-0.4	-0.043 ***	-3.74	0.000	-0.1	-0.036	-0.77
Tenure	0.001 *	1.84	0.002 ***	3.8	-0.001 ***	-4.3	0.010 ***	3.92
Spec	-0.005	-0.65	-0.052 ***	-2.84	-0.006	-0.7	0.033	0.33
TRAN	0.006 ***	3.54	-0.008 **	-2.11	0.002 **	1.97	-0.057 ***	-3.32
Year dummy	yes		yes		yes		yes	
Industry dummy	yes		yes		yes		yes	
Adj R ²	0.286		0.498		0.18		0.282	
N	3096		3096		3096		3096	

Note:

(1) Please see exhibit 1 for variable descriptions.

(2) *Significant at the 10% level. ** Significant at the 5% level. ***Significant at the 1% level.

However, considering the female directors variable (**FEMnod**) and female independent directors variable (**FEMind**)¹⁰, we report a negative net effect of -0.005 on **REMI** (coef = -0.125 on **FEMnod** and coef = 0.12 on **Femind**). That shows the mitigation effect on REM for “female and independent directors” is not comparable to that of “female but non-independent directors”. We argue that the latter (female but non-independent directors) might possess better comprehension of how their firms manage earnings. Liu, Wei, and Xie (2014) report that female executive directors present a stronger positive effect on firm performance than female independent directors do. Due to the results, we call for more future empirical research in this area to further explore the issue.

Overall, we learn that empirical results controlling endogeneity still constitute no differences from our main results in Tables 8. Therefore, our main results are considered well represented and fit for analyses.

5. Discussion and concluding remarks

In recent decades, men and women have participated in similar education and training. However, the proportion of female to male directors represented on boards has remained relatively low, particularly in emerging countries, which has induced a number of countries to mandate that public companies allocate a certain number of female director seats to achieve a reasonable allocation of gender diversity that in turn strengthens management effectiveness. Moreover, management literature characterizes female managers as having, (1) low risk tolerance, (2) high legal compliance, (3) more prudent decision-making acumen, (4) less overconfidence, (5) good communication skills and (6) coordination competencies superior to their male counterparts, which may positively change the dynamics of a male-exclusive board. Therefore, this study posits a notion and

¹⁰ We also apply a similar Heckman procedure but to consider “family firms that employ female directors” an interaction term of **Family x FEMnod**” as an alternative control, and the results report that family variable is positively related to REM, but the interactive variable is negatively and marginally associated with REM ($p < 0.1$). This indicates that REM activities are reduced on family firms if they also have female directors on the boards. Similarly, IMR is not supported statistically, and self-selection issue may not be a major concern. We appreciate the anonymous reviewer’s suggestions on the additional analysis.

expects that the presence of female directors would help boards strengthen the oversight of financial reporting and other non-routine major activities. Considering that companies have shifted to increase engagement in REM activities but refrain from AEM in the post SOX era, this research applies both DA proxies and REM metrics to measure the firm's earnings management activities.

This research studies a sample of 3,102 firm-year observations of Taiwanese companies spanning the period from 2006 to 2010. Consistent with our expectations, empirical results show that all three female director measures present effectively to influence board performance on financial reporting oversight, and thus constrain the firm's REM behaviors. However, only firms with greater proportions of female directors are associated with lower absolute values of discretionary accruals in AEM. Since DAs are an important part of AEM that largely has a reversible feature (McNichlos, 2001), it is easy to offset the influence on the firm performance of the previous or subsequent period. Prior accounting literature also finds that AEM is more likely to be detected by high quality auditors (Gupta, Pevzner, and Seethamraju, 2010; Cohen and Zarowin, 2010). However, REM is a real transaction arrangement, detrimental to future cash flows and long-term firm value, and thus leads to more severe economic consequences than AEM. Moreover, an abnormal transaction arrangement usually involves large funds or is of a special nature, and consequently is more likely to be submitted to the board for a thorough review and a formal discussion, which, if the board involves female members, their characteristics, unique to gender, come into play. Therefore, a firm's stakeholders should pay more attention to REM activities.

In contrast, AEM involves no real economic activities, and is carried out within managerial discretion of accounting method selection and estimates based on generally accepted accounting principles. It is fair to state, except for monitoring by audit committees, AEM presents more difficulties for board supervision. As to our results showing that a high proportion of female directors moderately reduce discretionary accruals earnings management and

income-decreasing management in AEM, one possible corporate governance perspective is that a larger number of firms with a high proportion of female directors may also have set up their audit committees¹¹. However, we do not find a similar and significant association between female director measures and accrual-based upward earnings management.

This study concludes that female directors serve to prevent firms from abnormal major transactions at the expense of long-term value. Female directors also further strengthen the monitoring and advisory roles of boards, as expected by Taiwanese shareholders. By utilizing the unique gender characteristics of female directors to improve corporate governance, more firms may deem it essential to appoint more female directors to enhance the strategic decision-making of boards. Therefore, our research provides some implications to practitioners and relevant regulators pertaining to shifts in earnings management in recent years. First, though firms' AEM and REM activities appear difficult to prevent, given the presence of various opportunities and incentives, AEM behaviors are often detected by high-quality audit work, and result in either restatements or other legal actions, as required by regulators. The nature of REM behavior, however, is commonly justified by managerial discretion, whereas auditors and regulators may be challenged to determine the motivation beyond the transactions. Firms with the presence of female directors on the board, to some extent, may offer another path to lowering their REM behaviors before managerial discretions. Second, we also indicate that the utmost importance of board diversity should be linked to the characteristics and working competencies of women, and that female professional competencies and leadership styles should be emphasized when appointing directors. There continue to be few female director seats allotted to boards in many companies and from most countries. Companies are therefore encouraged to advocate the appointment of female directors in order to take advantage of the enhanced benefits related to gender diversity.

¹¹ The public listed companies in Taiwan are encouraged by authorities, but are not required, to set up the audit committee as of 2014.

Our study provides an exploratory study that finds firms with female directors have less REM, more specifically these firms presents a lower level of REM practice with respect to the reduction of discretionary expenditures. This suggests the short-term effect of greater board diversity may alleviate a firm's myopic behaviors. Moreover, the impact of female directors on constraining REM still remains unchanged even in the analysis of the financial crisis of 2008-2010. Lee *et al.* (2015) find manipulating small amounts around the zero-earnings threshold is different from general earnings management and is difficult or impossible to detect, even by independent directors with financial expertise or Big Four audit firms. In our suspect firm analysis, we do not find evidence that female directors had any effect on constraining REM in suspect firms, and our results partially resonate with prior research findings.

Our research findings may expand extant literature on board diversity, corporate governance, and earnings management. Future research may focus on in-depth investigations on the types of REM activities through which female directors oversee decision-making processes through better monitoring, and the extent to which the proportion of female directors should be increased to effectively constrain both AEM and REM activities in the long-term.

Lastly, we note that our study is not without limitation. A key research limitation is that the current publicly announced information does not disclose the gender of board directors. Therefore, this research will inevitably face the possibility of misjudgment despite the authors' best efforts to retrieve related gender information. Such an onerous data-collection process also limits the expansion of sample period. Readers should interpret the findings of this exploratory study with caution.

References

- Adams, R. B. and Ferreira, D. (2009). Women in the boardroom and their impact on governance and performance. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 94(2), 291-309.

- Ahern, K. R. and Dittmar, A. K. (2012). The changing of the boards: The impact on firm valuation of mandated female board representation. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 127(1), 137-197.
- Ali, A., Chen, T. Y., and Radhakrishnan, S. (2007). Corporate disclosures by family firms. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 44(1), 238-286.
- Amore, M. D., Garofalo, O., and Minichilli, A. (2014). Gender interactions within the family firm. *Management Science*, 60(5), 1083-1097.
- Balsam, S., Krishnan, J., and Yang, J. S. (2003). Auditor industry specialization and earnings quality. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, 22(2), 71-97.
- Barua, A., Davidson, L. F., Rama, D. V., and Thiruvadi, S. (2010). CFO gender and accruals quality. *Accounting Horizons*, 24(1), 25-39.
- Becker, C. L., DeFond, M. L., Jiambalvo, J., and Subramanyam, K. R. (1998). The effect of audit quality on earnings management. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 15(1), 1-24.
- Bernardi, R. A. and Arnold, D. F. (1997). An examination of moral development within public accounting by gender, staff level, and firm. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 14(4), 653-668.
- Betz, M., O'Connell, L., and Shepard, J. M. (1989). Gender differences in proclivity for unethical behavior. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 8(5), 321-324.
- Bøhren, Ø. and Staubo, S. (2014). Does mandatory gender balance work? Changing organizational form to avoid board upheaval. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 28, 152-168.
- Bøhren, Ø. and Staubo, S. (2016). Mandatory gender balance and board independence. *European Financial Management*, 22(1), 3-30.
- Boone, A. L., Field, L. C., Karpoff, J. M., and Raheja, C. G. (2007). The determinants of corporate board size and composition: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 85(1), 66-101.
- Brennan, N. (2006). Boards of directors and firm performance: Is there an expectations gap? *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 14(6), 577-593.

- Brennan, N. M. and Solomon, J. (2008). Corporate governance, accountability and mechanisms of accountability: An overview. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 12(7), 885-906.
- Browder, D. (1995). Shareholders are valuing diversity. *Directors and Boards*, 19, 12-17.
- Burgstahler, D. and Dichev, I. (1997). Earnings management to avoid earnings decreases and losses. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 24(1), 99-126.
- Campbell, K. and Mínguez-Vera, A. (2008). Gender diversity in the boardroom and firm financial performance. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 83(3), 435-451.
- Carter, D. A., Simkins, B. J., and Simpson, W. G. (2003). Corporate governance, board diversity, and firm value. *Financial Review*, 38(1), 33-53.
- Chang, L., Chen, Y. P., Hou, C. P., Lin, T. J., and Lee, I. Z. (2013). A study of the relationship among family business, CEO overconfidence and corporate innovation. *Chiao Da Management Review*, 33(1), 105-140.
- Chen, C. Y., Lin, C. J., and Lin, Y. C. (2008). Audit partner tenure, audit firm tenure, and discretionary accruals: Does long auditor tenure impair earnings quality? *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 25(2), 415-445.
- Chi, H. Y., Weng, T. C., Yang, C. T., and Lu, P. Y. (2015). Does nontradable share reform improve the informativeness of earnings in China? *Journal of Accounting Review*, 60, 111-149.
- Chi, J., Liao, J., and Chen, X. (2016). Politically connected CEOs and earnings management: Evidence from China. *Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy*, 21(3), 397-417.
- Chi, L. C., Tang, T. C., and Chen, M. Y. (2012). Corporate transparency as a defense against a stock price plunge: Evidence from a market-crash context. *Chiao Da Management Review*, 32(1), 137-162.
- Chi, W., Lisic, L. L., and Pevzner, M. (2011). Is enhanced audit quality associated with greater real earnings management? *Accounting Horizons*, 25(2), 315-335.

- Cohen, D. A. and Zarowin, P. (2010). Accrual-based and real earnings management activities around seasoned equity offerings. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 50(1), 2-19.
- Cohen, D. A., Dey, A., and Lys, T. Z. (2008). Real and accrual-based earnings management in the pre-and post-Sarbanes-Oxley periods. *The Accounting Review*, 83(3), 757-787.
- Coles, J. L., Daniel, N. D., and Naveen, L. (2008). Boards: Does one size fit all? *Journal of Financial Economics*, 87(2), 329-356.
- Collier, P. M. (2008). Stakeholder accountability: A field study of the implementation of a governance improvement plan. *Accounting Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 21(7), 933-954.
- Cox, T. H., Lobel, S. A., and McLeod, P. L. (1991). Effects of ethnic group cultural differences on cooperative and competitive behavior on a group task. *Academy of Management Journal*, 34(4), 827-847.
- Cumming, D., Leung, T. Y., and Rui, O. (2015). Gender diversity and securities fraud. *Academy of Management Journal*, 58(5), 1572-1593.
- Dechow, P. M. and Dichev, I. D. (2002). The quality of accruals and earnings: The role of accrual estimation errors. *The Accounting Review*, 77, 35-59.
- Dechow, P. M., Kothari, S. P., and Watts, R. L. (1998). The relation between earnings and cash flows. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 25(2), 133-168.
- Dechow, P. M., Sloan, R. G., and Sweeney, A. P. (1995). Detecting earnings management. *The Accounting Review*, 70(2), 193-225.
- Degeorge, F., Patel, J., and Zeckhauser, R. (1999). Earnings management to exceed thresholds. *The Journal of Business*, 72(1), 1-33.
- Dowling, M. and Aribi, Z. A. (2013). Female directors and UK company acquisitiveness. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 29, 79-86.
- Elgart, L. D. (1983). Women on fortune 500 boards. *California Management Review*, 25(4), 121-127.

- Erhardt, N. L., Werbel, J. D., and Shrader, C. B. (2003). Board of director diversity and firm financial performance. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 11(2), 102-111.
- Faccio, M., Marchica, M. T., and Mura, R. (2016). CEO gender, corporate risk-taking, and the efficiency of capital allocation. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 39, 193-209.
- Fan, J. P. and Wong, T. J. (2002). Corporate ownership structure and the informativeness of accounting earnings in East Asia. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 33(3), 401-425.
- Fan, J. P., Wong, T. J., and Zhang, T. (2007). Politically connected CEOs, corporate governance, and post-IPO performance of China's newly partially privatized firms. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 84(2), 330-357.
- Fan, Y., Barua, A., Cready, W. M., and Thomas, W. B. (2010). Managing earnings using classification shifting: Evidence from quarterly special items. *The Accounting Review*, 85(4), 1303-1323.
- Farrell, K. A. and Hersch, P. L. (2005). Additions to corporate boards: The effect of gender. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 11(1), 85-106.
- Francis, J. R., Maydew, E. L., and Sparks, H. C. (1999). The role of Big 6 auditors in the credible reporting of accruals. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, 18(2), 17-34.
- Franke, G. R., Crown, D. F., and Spake, D. F. (1997). Gender differences in ethical perceptions of business practices: A social role theory perspective. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 82(6), 920-934.
- Gavious, I., Segev, E., and Yosef, R. (2012). Female directors and earnings management in high-technology firms. *Pacific Accounting Review*, 24(1), 4-32.
- Graham, J. F., Stendardi Jr, E. J., Myers, J. K., and Graham, M. J. (2002). Gender differences in investment strategies: An information processing perspective. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 20(1), 17-26.

- Graham, J. R., Harvey, C. R., and Rajgopal, S. (2005). The economic implications of corporate financial reporting. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 40(1), 3-73.
- Guay, W. R., Kothari, S. P., and Watts, R. L. (1996). A market-based evaluation of discretionary accrual models. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 34, 83-105.
- Gunny, K. A. (2010). The relation between earnings management using real activities manipulation and future performance: Evidence from meeting earnings benchmarks. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 27(3), 855-888.
- Gupta, M., Pevzner, M., and Seethamraju, C. (2010). The implications of absorption cost accounting and production decisions for future firm performance and valuation. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 27(3), 889-922.
- Halko, M. L., Kaustia, M., and Alanko, E. (2012). The gender effect in risky asset holdings. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 83(1), 66-81.
- Haslam, S. A., Ryan, M. K., Kulich, C., Trojanowski, G., and Atkins, C. (2010). Investing with prejudice: the relationship between women's presence on company boards and objective and subjective measures of company performance. *British Journal of Management*, 21(2), 484-497.
- Heckman, J. J. (1979). Sample selection bias as a specification error. *Econometrica*, 47(1), 153-162.
- Hillman, A. J., Shropshire C., and Cannella, A. A. (2007). Organizational predictors of women on corporate boards. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(4), 941-952.
- Huang, C. H., Lin, F. Y., Wu, S. F., and Huang, J. B. (2014). Is corporate governance effective in earnings management in Asian emerging markets? *Chiao Da Management Review*, 34(2), 87-111.
- Huang, C. J., Shiue, M. J., and Chang, Y. S. (2010). How does corporate governance affect firm performance? The mediating role of agency costs. *Chiao Da Management Review*, 30(2), 107-146.

- Hunt, A., Moyer, S. E., and Shevlin, T. (1996). Managing interacting accounting measures to meet multiple objectives: A study of LIFO firms. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 21(3), 339-374.
- Hunton, J. E., Libby, R., and Mazza, C. L. (2006). Financial reporting transparency and earnings management (retracted). *The Accounting Review*, 81(1), 135-157.
- Huse, M., Nielsen, S. T., and Hagen, M. I. (2009). Women and employee-elected board members, and their contributions to board control tasks. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 89(4), 581-597.
- Ittonen, K., Vähämaa, E., and Vähämaa, S. (2013). Female auditors and accruals quality. *Accounting Horizons*, 27(2), 205-228.
- Jones, J. (1991). Earnings management during import relief investigations. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 29(2), 193-228.
- Kang, S. A. and Kim, Y. S. (2012). Effect of corporate governance on real activity-based earnings management: Evidence from Korea. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, 13(1), 29-52.
- Kanter, R. M. (1977). Some effects of proportions on group life: Skewed sex ratios and responses to token women. *American Journal of Sociology*, 82(5), 965-990.
- Khazanchi, D. (1995). Unethical behavior in information systems: The gender factor. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 14(9), 741-749.
- Klein, A. (2002). Audit committee, board of director characteristics, and earnings management. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 33(3), 375-400.
- Kothari, S. P., Leone, A. J., and Wasley, C. E. (2005). Performance matched discretionary accrual measures. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 39(1), 163-197.
- Krishnan, G. V. and Parsons, L. M. (2008). Getting to the bottom line: An exploration of gender and earnings quality. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 78(1), 65-76.

- Lee, P. M. and James, E. H. (2007). She'-e-os: Gender effects and investor reactions to the announcements of top executive appointments. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(3), 227-241.
- Lee, S. H., Chien, H. F., Tsai, Y. C., and Chang, L. L. (2015). Earnings management of firms just meeting zero-earnings thresholds: The stakeholders' perspective. *Chiao Da Management Review*, 35(2), 31-79.
- Levi, M., Li, K., and Zhang, F. (2014). Director gender and mergers and acquisitions. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 28, 185-200.
- Linck, J. S., Netter, J. M., and Yang, T. (2008). The determinants of board structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 87(2), 308-328.
- Liu, Y., Wei, Z., and Xie, F. (2014). Do women directors improve firm performance in China? *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 28, 169-184.
- Liu, Y., Wei, Z., and Xie, F. (2016). CFO gender and earnings management: Evidence from China. *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting*, 46(4), 881-905.
- Low, D. C., Roberts, H., and Whiting, R. H. (2015). Board gender diversity and firm performance: Empirical evidence from Hong Kong, South Korea, Malaysia and Singapore. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 35, 381-401.
- Luo, J. H., Xiang, Y., and Huang, Z. (2017). Female directors and real activities manipulation: Evidence from China. *China Journal of Accounting Research*, 10(2), 141-166.
- Matsuura, S. (2008). On the relation between real earnings management and accounting earnings management: Income smoothing perspective. *Journal of International Business Research*, 7(3), 63-82.
- McNichols, M. F. (2001). Research design issues in earnings management studies. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 19(4), 313-345.
- McNichols, M. F. (2002). Discussion of the quality of accruals and earnings: the role of accrual estimation errors. *The Accounting Review*, 77, 61-69.
- Milliken, F. J. and Martins, L. L. (1996). Searching for common threads: understanding the multiple effects of diversity in organizational groups. *Academy of Management Review*, 21(2), 402-433.

- Moeckel, C. (1990). The effect of experience on auditors' memory errors. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 28(2), 368-387.
- Myers, J. N., Myers, L. A., and Omer, T. C. (2003). Exploring the term of the auditor-client relationship and the quality of earnings: A case for mandatory auditor rotation? *The Accounting Review*, 78(3), 779-799.
- Na, K. and Hong, J. (2017). CEO gender and earnings management. *Journal of Applied Business Research*, 33(2), 297-308.
- Palepu, K. (1985). Diversification strategy, profit performance and the entropy measure. *Strategic Management Journal*, 6(3), 239-255.
- Peasnell, K. V., Pope, P. F., and Young, S. (2005). Board monitoring and earnings management: Do outside directors influence abnormal accruals? *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, 32(7-8), 1311-1346.
- Peni, E. and Vähämaa, S. (2010). Female executives and earnings management. *Managerial Finance*, 36(7), 629-645.
- Post, C. and Byron, K. (2015). Women on boards and firm financial performance: A meta-analysis. *Academy of Management Journal*, 58(5), 1546-1571.
- Powell, M. and Ansic, D. (1997). Gender differences in risk behavior in financial decision-making: An experimental analysis. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 18(6), 605-628.
- Reichelt, K. J. and Wang, D. (2010). National and office-specific measures of auditor industry expertise and effects on audit quality. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 48(3), 647-686.
- Rose, C. (2007). Does female board representation influence firm performance? The Danish evidence. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 15(2), 404-413.
- Roychowdhury, S. (2006). Earnings management through real activities manipulation. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 42(3), 335-370.
- Sari, R. C., Warsono, S., and Suryaningsum, S. (2010). Does investor protection affect the choice of earnings management methods through real activity manipulation and accrual manipulation? Asian comparison. *Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing*, 6(6), 1-13.

- Schipper, K. (1989). Commentary on earnings management. *Accounting Horizons*, 3(4), 91-102.
- Shleifer, A. and Vishny, R. W. (1997). A survey of corporate governance. *Journal of Finance*, 52(2), 737-783.
- Sila, V., Gonzalez, A., and Hagendorff, J. (2016). Women on board: Does boardroom gender diversity affect firm risk? *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 36, 26-53.
- Srinidhi, B., Gul, F. A., and Tsui, J. (2011). Female directors and earnings quality. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 28(5), 1610-1644.
- Terjesen, S. and Singh, V. (2008). Female presence on corporate boards: A multi-country study of environmental context. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 83(1), 55-63.
- Valentine, S. R. and Rittenburg, T. L. (2007). The ethical decision making of men and women executives in international business situations. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 71(2), 125-134.
- Visvanathan, G. (2008). Corporate governance and real earnings management. *Academy of Accounting and Finance Study Journal*, 12(1), 9-22.
- Wang, W. C. (2011). Corporate governance of China listed companies under share splitting: An examination of controlling shareholders. *Chengchi Law Review*, 122, 201-296.
- Warfield, T. D., Wild, J. J., and Wild, K. L. (1995). Managerial ownership, accounting choices, and informativeness of earnings. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 20(1), 61-91.
- Wooldridge, J. M. (2009). *Introductory Econometrics: A Modern Approach*. Mason, OH: South-Western Cengage Learning.
- Xie, B., Davidson, W. N., and DaDalt, P. J. (2003). Earnings management and corporate governance: the role of the board and the audit committee. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 9(3), 295-316.
- Xiong, J. (2016). Chairman characteristics and earnings management: Evidence from Chinese listed firms. *Open Journal of Accounting*, 5, 82-94.

- Yeh, C. C. and Yu, H. C. (2014). The value relevance of fair value accounting information during financial crisis. *Chiao Da Management Review*, 34(2), 1-26.
- Yermack, D. (1996). Higher market valuation of companies with a small board of directors. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 40(2), 185-211.
- Zang, A. Y. (2012). Evidence on the trade-off between real activities manipulation and accrual-based earnings management. *The Accounting Review*, 87(2), 675-703.
- Zelechowski, D. D. and Bilimoria, D. (2004). Characteristics of women and men corporate inside directors in the US. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 12(3), 337-342.